## SECTION-III

## Salient Features

The third quarterly estimates are based on the data of 8800 sample households enumerated through Jan-Mar 2013. Findings are presented in the form of proportions and percentages to provide for all-purpose employability. For absolute numbers, one may refer to the population of Pakistan as per Planning \& Development Division projection, estimated at 182.18 million on $16^{\text {th }}$ February, 2013. A brief account of the survey's third quarter's estimates in comparison with that of the same period of LFS 2010-11 follows, parenthesized in the order of previous (2010-11) versus current (2012-13).

## Labour Force Participation Rates

2. Labour force participation rate waxes a bit ( $32.0 \%, 32.1 \%$ ). Similar configuration obtains in urban area ( $28.8 \%, 29.6 \%$ ) and, for males $(48.6 \%, 49.1 \%)$. Opposite changes are noted in rural areas $(33.7 \%, 33.3 \%)$ and, for females $(14.8 \%, 14.3 \%)$. The pertinent information is contained in the table-1 below.

## TABLE-1

CRUDE ACTIVITY (PARTICIPATION) RATES-PAKISTAN AND ITS RURAL-URBAN

| Area |  |  | 3 $^{\text {rd }}$ Quarter |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LFS 2010-11 |  |  | LFS 2012-13 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Female | Total | Male | Female |  |
|  |  |  | 14.8 | 32.1 | 49.1 | 14.3 |  |
| Rural | 33.7 | 48.2 | 18.5 | 33.3 | 48.3 | 17.8 |  |
| Urban | 28.8 | 49.3 | 7.3 | 29.6 | 50.6 | 7.2 |  |

Figure 1 :CRUDE ACTIVITY(PARTICIPATION) RATES BY SEX FOR PAKISTAN, RURAL \& URBAN
All Areas:



## Urban Areas :



## Activity Status

3. Batter part of non agricultural employment is informal. Informal sector wanes $(75.6 \%$, $74.3 \%$ ) while formal waxes ( $24.4 \%, 25.7 \%$ ) by area and, for males. However, females shares indicate a sort of opposite changes; decrease in the former while increase in the latter.

TABLE-2
FORMAL/INFORMAL SECTOR BY AREA AND SEX
(\%)

| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $3^{\text {rd }}$ Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Formal | 24.4 | 24.1 | 27.0 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Informal | 75.6 | 75.9 | 73.0 | 74.3 | 74.3 | 74.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Formal | 20.7 | 21.0 | 18.2 | 21.4 | 21.7 | 18.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Informal | 79.3 | 79.0 | 81.8 | 78.6 | 78.3 | 81.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Formal | 28.2 | 27.3 | 36.9 | 29.9 | 29.6 | 33.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Informal | 71.8 | 72.7 | 63.1 | 70.1 | 70.4 | 66.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Employed: Employment Status

4. Employed persons comprise employees (38.9\%), own account workers (34.0\%), contributing family workers ( $25.6 \%$ ) and employers ( $1.5 \%$ ). More females are engaged as contributing family workers ( $61 \%$ ) while, more males are own account workers, employees and employers comparatively. As for change during the comparative period, employees ( $35.6 \%$, $38.9 \%$ ) and employers $(1.4 \%, 1.5 \%)$ gain steam while own account workers $(35.6 \%, 34 \%)$ and contributing family workers ( $27.4 \%, 25.6 \%$ ) trend down.

TABLE-3
EMPLOYMENT STATUS-DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYED BY SEX
(\%)

| Employment Status | $\mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }}$ Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LFS 2010-11 |  | LFS 2012-13 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Employers | 1.4 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 0.2 |
| Own account workers | 35.6 | 40.6 | 17.4 | 34.0 | 38.8 | 16.1 |
| Contributing family workers | 27.4 | 16.9 | 65.1 | 25.6 | 16.1 | 61.0 |
| Employees | 35.6 | 40.7 | 17.3 | 38.9 | 43.2 | 22.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Figure 2 : EMPLOYMENT STATUS : (Both Sexes)


EMPLOYMENT STATUS: (Male)


## EMPLOYMENT STATUS: (Female)



## Employed: Major Industry Divisions

5. Agriculture \& allied activities ( $44.2 \% 42.1 \%$ ), construction ( $7.6 \%, 7.2 \%$ ) and wholesale \& retail trade $(16.7 \%, 15.2 \%)$ seem trending down while, manufacturing $(13.6 \%, 14.7 \%)$ and other community/social \& personal service activities ( $10.8 \%, 13.8 \%$ ) gain steam. Generally, sex disaggregated indicators follow the movement of over all figures. Table-4 below depicts the relevant data.

TABLE-4
MAJOR INDUSTRY DIVISIONS-DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYED BY SEX

| Major Industry Divisions | $3^{\text {rd }}$ Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LFS 2010-11 |  |  | LFS 2012-13 |  |  |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Agriculture/ forestry/hunting \& fishing | 44.2 | 35.7 | 74.8 | 42.1 | 34.0 | 72.4 |
| Manufacturing | 13.6 | 14.1 | 11.9 | 14.7 | 15.3 | 12.6 |
| Construction | 7.6 | 9.7 | 0.2 | 7.2 | 9.1 | 0.1 |
| Wholesale \& retail trade | 16.7 | 20.9 | 1.6 | 15.2 | 18.8 | 1.6 |
| Transport/storage \& communication | 5.1 | 6.5 | - | 5.3 | 6.6 | 0.2 |
| Other community/social \& personal <br> service activities | 10.8 | 10.6 | 11.0 | 13.8 | 14.1 | 12.8 |
| *Others | 2.0 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 0.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

* Others (includes mining \& quarrying, electricity, gas \& water, financing, real estate activities and extraterritorial organization and bodies)
(-) insignificant

Figure 3 : EMPLOYMENT BY SECTOR: PAKISTAN (Both Sexes)


EMPLOYMENT BY SECTOR : PAKISTAN (Male)


## EMPLOYMENT BY SECTOR : PAKISTAN (Female)



## Mean Hours Worked:

6. Mean hours worked during reference week scale up marginally (46.3, 46.6). Gender disaggregated indicators follows similar configuration. Change by area make opposite configuration-increase in urban $(51.1,52.0)$ while decrease in rural areas $(44.3,44.2)$.

## Table-5

## MEAN HOURS WORKED - BY AREA AND SEX

| Area |  | 3 $^{\text {rd }}$ Quarter |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LFS 2010-11 |  |  | LFS 2012-13 |  |  |
|  |  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Pakistan | 46.3 | 49.9 | 33.3 | 46.6 | 50.1 | 33.5 |
| Rural | 44.3 | 48.5 | 32.6 | 44.2 | 48.3 | 32.5 |
| Urban | 51.1 | 52.8 | 37.1 | 52.0 | 53.5 | 39.0 |

Figure 4 : MEAN HOURS WORKED

## All Areas :



## Rural Areas :



Urban Areas :


## Unemployment Rates: Overall

7. Unemployment rate $(6.1 \%, 6.3 \%)$ increases during the comparative periods. Positive change is observed in rural areas $(4.8 \%, 5.1 \%)$ and, for males $(5.1 \%, 5.5 \%)$. Negative change is accrued in urban areas $(9.1 \%, 8.8 \%)$ and, for females $(9.4 \%, 9.2 \%)$. The relevant figures are presented in table-6.

Table-6
UNEMPLOYMENT RATES - BY AREA AND SEX

| Area | $\mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }}$ Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LFS 2010-11 |  |  | LFS 2012-13 |  |  |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
|  | 6.1 | 5.1 | 9.4 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 9.2 |
| Rural | 4.8 | 4.0 | 6.9 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 6.9 |
| Urban | 9.1 | 7.4 | 21.7 | 8.8 | 7.3 | 20.8 |

Figure 5 : UNEMPLOYMENT RATES BY SEX FOR PAKISTAN, RURAL \& URBAN

All Areas :



Urban Areas:


