## SECTION- III

## Salient Features

The first quarterly estimates are based on the data of 8810 sample households enumerated through Jul-Sept 2012. Findings are presented in the form of proportions and percentages to provide for all-purpose employability. For absolute numbers, one may refer to the population of Pakistan as per Planning \& Development Division projection, estimated at 180.32 million on $16^{\text {th }}$ August, 2012. A brief account of the survey's first quarter's estimates in comparison with that of the same period of LFS 2010-11 follows.

## Labour Force Participation Rates

2. Labour force participation rate enervates a sort from $33.3 \%$ in $2010-11$ to $33.0 \%$ in 2012-13. Sex aggregated rates narrate similar story. Area wise, rural rates are in sync with overall pattern while urban ones seem reducing more both for males and females. The pertinent information is contained in the table- 1 below.

TABLE-1
CRUDE ACTIVITY (PARTICIPATION) RATES-PAKISTAN AND ITS RURAL-URBAN
(\%)

| Area |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LFS 2010-11 |  |  | LFS 2012-13 |  |
|  |  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Pakistan | 33.3 | 49.9 | 15.8 | 33.0 | 49.4 | 15.5 |
| Rural | 34.7 | 49.0 | 19.9 | 34.6 | 48.7 | 19.7 |
| Urban | 30.5 | 51.6 | 7.7 | 29.9 | 50.8 | 7.3 |

Figure 1: CRUDE ACTIVITY RATES BY SEX FOR PAKISTAN, RURAL \& URBAN
All Areas:


## Rural Areas:



Urban Areas :


## Activity Status

3. Better part of non agriculture employment is informal. Informal sector waxes while formal wanes. The balance of change in either case seems more of male provenance and in rural areas.

TABLE-2
FORMAL/INFORMAL SECTOR BY AREA AND SEX

| Area | $1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LFS 2010-11 |  |  | LFS 2012-13 |  |  |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Pakistan | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Formal | 27.3 | 27.3 | 27.7 | 26.9 | 26.7 | 28.4 |
| Informal | 72.7 | 72.7 | 72.3 | 73.1 | 73.3 | 71.6 |
| Rural | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Formal | 24.7 | 25.2 | 20.6 | 22.2 | 22.4 | 20.1 |
| Informal | 75.3 | 74.8 | 79.4 | 77.8 | 77.6 | 79.9 |
| Urban | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Formal | 29.8 | 29.2 | 36.0 | 31.5 | 31.0 | 36.0 |
| Informal | 70.2 | 70.8 | 64.0 | 68.5 | 69.0 | 64.0 |

## Employed: Employment Status

4. Employed persons are distributed in the decreasing order of employees (39.5\%), own account workers ( $32.8 \%$ ), contributing family workers ( $26.2 \%$ ) and employers ( $1.5 \%$ ). More females are engaged as contributing family workers ( $60.5 \%$ ) while, more males are own account workers, employees and employers comparatively. Own account workers and contributing family workers decrease employees increase while employers level same during the comparative period.

TABLE-3
EMPLOYMENT STATUS-DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYED BY SEX
(\%)

| Employment Status |  | 1 $^{\text {st }}$ Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LFS 2010-11 |  |  | LFS 2012-13 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |  |
| Employers | 1.5 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 0.1 |  |
| Own account workers | 34.0 | 39.0 | 16.3 | 32.8 | 38.7 | 11.8 |  |
| Contributing family workers | 28.3 | 17.7 | 65.5 | 26.2 | 16.6 | 60.5 |  |
| Employees | 36.2 | 41.4 | 18.1 | 39.5 | 42.9 | 27.6 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

Figure 2 : EMPLOYMENT STATUS : (Both Sexes)


EMPLOYMENT STATUS: (Male)


| - Employers |
| :--- |
| - Own account workers |
| - |
| - Contributing fam ily workers |
| $-\quad$ Employees |

## EMPLOYMENT STATUS: (Female)



## Employed: Major Industry Divisions

5. All categories except manufacturing, construction and other community/social \& personal services trend down more for males than female. Generally, sex disaggregated indicators follow the movement of over all figures. Table- 4 below provides the comparative figures.

TABLE-4
MAJOR INDUSTRY DIVISIONS-DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYED
(\%)

| Major Industry Divisions | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LFS 2010-11 |  |  | LFS 2012-13 |  |  |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Agriculture/ forestry/hunting \& fishing | 44.3 | 35.7 | 74.4 | 43.2 | 34.1 | 75.8 |
| Manufacturing | 14.3 | 15.0 | 11.9 | 14.5 | 15.7 | 10.3 |
| Construction | 6.7 | 8.6 | 0.3 | 7.7 | 9.7 | 0.3 |
| Wholesale \& retail trade | 16.2 | 20.2 | 2.1 | 14.6 | 18.1 | 2.1 |
| Transport/storage \& communication | 5.4 | 7.0 | 0.1 | 4.9 | 6.2 | 0.2 |
| Other community/social \& personal <br> service activities | 11.0 | 10.8 | 11.1 | 13.7 | 14.5 | 10.9 |
| *Others | 2.1 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

[^0]Figure 3 : EMPLOYMENT BY SECTOR : (Both Sexes)


EMPLOYMENT BY SECTOR : PAKISTAN (Male)


## EMPLOYMENT BY SECTOR : PAKISTAN (Female)



## Mean Hours Worked:

6. Mean hours worked during reference week reduces from $49.6 \%$ in 2010-11 to $46.2 \%$ in 2012-13. Sex-disaggregated figures narrate similar story. Area wise, decrease is indicated in both rural and urban areas.

Table-5
MEAN HOURS WORKED - BY AREA AND SEX

| Area | $1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LFS 210-11 |  |  | LFS 2012-13 |  |  |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Pakistan | 49.6 | 50.4 | 34.7 | 46.2 | 49.6 | 34.2 |
| Rural | 45.1 | 49.2 | 34.2 | 44.5 | 48.6 | 33.5 |
| Urban | 51.2 | 52.7 | 37.5 | 50.2 | 51.5 | 39.2 |

Figure 4 : MEAN HOURS WORKED
All Areas :


## Rural Areas :



Urban Areas:


Unemployment Rates: Overall
7. Unemployment rate (6.1\%) seems trending up during the comparative periods. Change appears more of male provenance and in rural areas. The relevant figures are presented in Table-6.

Table-6
UNEMPLOYMENT RATES - BY AREA AND SEX
(\%)

| Area |  |  | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LFS 2010-11 |  |  | LFS 2012-13 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Female | Total | Male | Female |  |  |
|  |  |  | 9.6 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 9.7 |  |  |
| Rural | 4.8 | 3.8 | 7.4 | 5.0 | 3.9 | 7.6 |  |  |
| Urban | 8.7 | 7.0 | 21.0 | 8.8 | 7.2 | 21.0 |  |  |

Figure 5 : UNEMPLOYMENT RATES BY SEX FOR PAKISTAN, RURAL \& URBAN


## Rural Area :



## Urban Areas :




[^0]:    * Others (includes mining \& quarrying, electricity, gas \& water, financing, real estate activities and extraterritorial organization and bodies)

