## SECTION- III

## SALIENT FEATURES

The Survey's estimates are based on the data of 36,420 sample households enumerated through July 2010 to June 2011. Findings are presented in the form of proportions and percentages to provide for all-purpose employability. The population of Pakistan as per Planning \& Development Division's projection is estimated at 174.39 millions on $1^{\text {st }}$ January 2011. The same has been used in arriving at absolute numbers in the report.
2. In comparison with that of the preceding LFS 2009-10, a brief account of the survey's main annual estimates follows.

## Marital Status

3. Marital status (Table-1) consists mainly in the categories of never married and married. Widowed and divorced constitute wee part of the configuration. Since the composition of marital status is chiefly beholden to socio-cultural norms, it does not seem to be changing with the passage of time. See table-1 and Statistical Appendix Tables-4.

Table-1
MARITAL STATUS - DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION 10 YEARS OF AGE AND ABOVE

| Marital Status | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Never Married | 45.3 | 45.3 | 45.3 |
| Married | 50.6 | 50.6 | 50.6 |
| Widowed | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| Divorced | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

## Sex Ratio

4. Comparative figures for the overall sex ratio are close to each other. Rural figures are proximate to overall pattern while urban ones make a higher trend line. Punjab is more in line with the national trend followed by Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KP) while, Sindh and Balochistan depart significantly in the same order. Migration, as one of the main factor in determining the size and composition of population, may be viewed for possible explanation in this regard.

Table-2
SEX RATIO - PAKISTAN AND ITS PROVINCES

| Province/Area | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pakistan | 106 | 106 | 105 |
| Rural | 106 | 105 | 104 |
| Urban | 108 | 108 | 107 |
| Punjab | 104 | 104 | 102 |
| Sindh | 115 | 114 | 113 |
| KP | 101 | 100 | 100 |
| Balochistan | 114 | 113 | 113 |

Source:- Derived from Statistical Appendix Table-2.

## Literacy

5. Literacy rate (58.5\%) fares marginally higher than that of LFS 2009-10 (57.7\%), more in the case of females and, in rural areas. However, rural-urban and male-female disparity seems to be closing. Provinces make two distinct groupings; Punjab \& Sindh (59.8\%, 60.1\%) and, KP \& Balochistan ( $53.2 \%, 49.8 \%$ ) with decreasing inter provincial gap in the case of former and increasing one for the latter group. See table-3.

Table-3
LITERACY RATES (10 YEARS AND ABOVE) - PAKISTAN AND PROVINCES

| Province/Area | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Pakistan | $\mathbf{5 7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 3}$ |
| Rural | 49.2 | 63.6 | 34.2 | 50.2 | 64.5 | 35.6 |
| Urban | 73.2 | 80.2 | 65.5 | 73.7 | 80.5 | 66.4 |
| Punjab | $\mathbf{5 9 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 7}$ |
| Rural | 52.5 | 64.0 | 40.7 | 53.3 | 64.3 | 42.3 |
| Urban | 73.5 | 78.9 | 67.8 | 72.8 | 77.9 | 67.5 |
| Sindh | $\mathbf{5 8 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 0}$ |
| Rural | 41.0 | 58.2 | 20.3 | 42.3 | 60.3 | 21.1 |
| Urban | 74.9 | 82.2 | 66.8 | 77.1 | 84.1 | 69.3 |
| KP | $\mathbf{5 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 1}$ |
| Rural | 48.4 | 68.3 | 29.1 | 50.4 | 70.2 | 31.6 |
| Urban | 62.7 | 77.8 | 47.4 | 66.2 | 79.8 | 52.1 |
| Balochistan | $\mathbf{5 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 2}$ |
| Rural | 45.7 | 64.2 | 22.5 | 44.6 | 64.3 | 20.0 |
| Urban | 69.6 | 85.0 | 50.6 | 65.7 | 83.5 | 44.4 |

## Level of Education

6. The comparative shares of literate bespeak marginal improvement in the profile of educational attainment. All categories allude to a sort of increase, particularly, below matric $(37.5 \%, 38.0 \%)$. Generally, males are more educated compared to females. See table-4 and Statistical Appendix Table-3.

Table-4
LEVEL OF EDUCATION - DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION 10 + YEARS OF AGE BY SEX

| Level of Education | 2009-10 |  |  | 2010-11 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| A. Literate | $\mathbf{5 7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 3}$ |
| No formal education | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Below matric | 37.5 | 44.9 | 29.5 | 38.0 | 45.4 | 30.2 |
| Matric but less than Intermediate | 10.7 | 13.1 | 8.0 | 10.8 | 13.2 | 8.4 |
| Intermediate but less than Degree | 4.7 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 3.9 |
| Degree and above | 4.3 | 5.3 | 3.4 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 3.4 |
| B. Illiterate | $\mathbf{4 2 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 9}$ |
| Total (A+B) | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

## Migration

7. Proportion of migrants and degree of populous-ness seem to be correlated positively. In this regard, provinces make a descending sequence of Punjab ( $65.8 \%$ ), Sindh ( $24.8 \%$ ), KP $(9.0 \%)$ and Balochistan $(0.4 \%)$. Migration recedes in all provinces except in Sindh. Gender disaggregated proportions form similar configuration. Further, in collation with proportions of females, the proportions of male migrants are higher in all provinces except in Punjab.
8. Inter provincial migration appears to be positively associated with the level of urbanization and economic development. Thus, the proportions of inter provincial migrants is sequenced in the decreasing order of Sindh (44.9\%), Punjab (35.0\%), KP (19.5\%) and Balochistan ( $0.6 \%$ ). Inter-provincial migration is on wane except in Sindh. Gender disaggregated proportions form similar pattern. In comparison with the proportions of males, the proportions of female migrants are higher in all provinces except in KP.
9. The proportion of intra provincial migrants bespeaks positive nexus with the degree of populous-ness. Provinces form expected sequence in the order of Punjab (74.2 \%), Sindh ( $19.4 \%$ ), KP ( $6.1 \%$ ) and Balochistan ( $0.3 \%$ ). Similar pattern obtains by gender. Intraprovincial migration recedes in all provinces except in Sindh. Proportion of male migrants is higher than of female migrants in all provinces except in Punjab. See table-5 \& 5.1 and Statistical Appendix Tables-11.

Table-5
INTER AND INTRA PROVINCIAL MIGRATION 2009-10

| Provinces | Total |  |  | Inter-Provincial |  |  | Intra-Provincial |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Pakistan | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Punjab | 67.2 | 60.5 | 72.0 | 39.6 | 35.9 | 44.2 | 75.0 | 70.5 | 77.8 |
| Sindh | 23.0 | 26.1 | 20.7 | 39.8 | 37.8 | 42.3 | 18.2 | 21.3 | 16.3 |
| KP | 9.2 | 12.8 | 6.7 | 19.8 | 25.7 | 12.5 | 6.3 | 7.6 | 5.5 |
| Balochistan | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 |

Table-5.1
INTER AND INTRA PROVINCIAL MIGRATION 2010-11

| Provinces | Total |  |  | Inter-Provincial |  |  | Intra-Provincial |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Pakistan | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Punjab | 65.8 | 58.0 | 71.2 | 35.0 | 32.2 | 38.6 | 74.2 | 68.6 | 77.4 |
| Sindh | 24.8 | 29.0 | 22.0 | 44.9 | 43.0 | 47.2 | 19.4 | 23.2 | 17.2 |
| KP | 9.0 | 12.6 | 6.5 | 19.5 | 24.3 | 13.4 | 6.1 | 7.8 | 5.2 |
| Balochistan | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 |

10. Stream of migration flow within and between urban and rural areas. Since urbanization is the inevitable concomitant with the process of economic development, rural to urban migration steals most of the limelight due to enormity of consequential demand on the socio-economic resources.
11. Rural to urban migration accounts for more than quarter (28.6\%) of the total flow of migrants within and between areas. Males are more savvy to go urban ( $33.1 \%$ ) compared to females ( $25.4 \%$ ). Proportions by province form a descending sequence of Balochistan (38.3\%), Punjab (30.5\%), Sindh (27.8\%) and KP (16.4\%). Proportions by sex in Punjab and Sindh is relatively higher for males and, for females in KP and Balochistan.
12. As for change during the comparative periods, the rural to urban migration is on wane in toto and in Punjab, levels same in KP, while waxes in Sindh \& Balochistan. The change is more pronounced for males in Punjab \& Sindh and for females in Balochistan and KP. See table 5.2 and Statistical Appendix-11.

Table 5.2
DISTRIBUTION OF RURAL TO URBAN MIGRATION
(\%)

| Migration stream | 2009-10 |  |  | 2010-11 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Pakistan | 28.9 | 33.3 | 25.7 | 28.6 | 33.1 | 25.4 |
| Punjab | 31.2 | 39.4 | 26.2 | 30.5 | 38.5 | 25.9 |
| Sindh | 27.0 | 28.7 | 25.4 | 27.8 | 30.8 | 25.0 |
| KP | 16.4 | 13.7 | 20.1 | 16.4 | 13.6 | 20.3 |
| Balochistan | 34.7 | 30.9 | 31.3 | 38.3 | 36.5 | 39.9 |

## Reasons of Migration

13. According to the size of the relevant proportions, the marriage ( $32.2 \%$ ) and movement with parents ( $24.2 \%$ ) constitute the foremost reasons for migration. The former is proffered more by females while the latter's calculus owes more to males than females. Migration with spouse ( $12.0 \%$ ) and homecoming ( $9.4 \%$ ) make the next important couplet. Again, the former is related more by females while the latter is determined more by males than females. Next is the triplet of reasons relating to searching for job (5.4\%), assorted/other explanations (4.9\%) and found the job (4.8\%). All these reasons are related more by males than females.
14. Job transfer ( $1.6 \%$ ) and pursuit of business ( $1.2 \%$ ) are the fourth most important set of reasons, put forth more by males than females. Education ( $1.0 \%$ ) and accompanying with son/daughter ( $1.1 \%$ ) is the last couplet of reason. The former is proffered more by males while the latter is related more by females.
15. As for change during the period marked by the consecutive surveys, the comparative figures seem to be proximate to each other, characterized with marginal changes. See table-6 and Statistical Appendix Table-12.

Table -6
MIGRANTS POPULATION BY MAIN REASONS OF MIGRATION
(\%)

| Main Reasons of Migration | 2009-10 |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Job transfer | 2.1 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| Found a job | 5.5 | 11.9 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 10.7 | 0.2 |
| Searching for job | 5.6 | 12.2 | 0.3 | 5.5 | 12.4 | 0.2 |
| Searching for a better agriculture land | 1.6 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 0.1 |
| Education | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 0.4 |
| Business | 1.7 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 0.2 |
| Health | 0.1 | 0.2 | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | - |
| Marriage | 31.6 | 2.0 | 55.6 | 32.9 | 2.4 | 56.4 |
| With parents | 23.3 | 32.4 | 15.9 | 24.2 | 35.1 | 16.0 |
| With spouse | 11.7 | 0.8 | 20.5 | 12.1 | 1.0 | 20.6 |
| With son/daughter | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.4 |
| Return to his home | 9.0 | 19.0 | 1.0 | 9.4 | 20.4 | 1.1 |
| Other | 5.8 | 8.4 | 3.7 | 4.9 | 7.1 | 3.2 |

Note: (-) Stands for insignificant

## Migrants Employed by Employment Status

16. Four in five ( $79.6 \%$ ) of migrants are either employees ( $44.3 \%$ ) or own account workers ( $35.3 \%$ ). About one in six ( $17.8 \%$ ) are contributing family workers and one in forty (2.6\%) are employers. More males than females are engaged as employees ( $49.5 \%, 29.1 \%$ ), employers $(3.4 \%, 0.1 \%)$ and own account workers $(40.6 \%, 19.6 \%)$ while more females than males work as contributing family workers ( $51.2 \%, 6.5 \%$ ). As for change during the comparative period, own account workers and contributing family workers seem to be notching up, employees lose steam, while employer remain at the same level. See table-7 and Statistical Appendix Table-13.

Table- 7
MIGRANTS EMPLOYED BY EMPLOYMENT STATUS

| Major Industry Divisions | 2009-10 |  |  | 2010-11 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Employers | 2.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| Own account workers | 34.2 | 39.1 | 18.2 | 35.3 | 40.6 | 19.6 |
| Contributing family workers | 17.6 | 6.6 | 54.2 | 17.8 | 6.5 | 51.2 |
| Employees | 45.5 | 51.0 | 27.3 | 44.3 | 49.5 | 29.1 |

## Labour Force Participation Rates: Crude

17. Crude participation rate (32.8\%) is nigh equivalent to that of LFS 2009-10 (33.0\%). Comparative urban rates are congruent ( $30.0 \%$ ) while rural ones ( $34.5 \%, 34.3 \%$ ) trend down. Similar scenario obtains in the case of females $(15.5 \%, 15.6 \%)$ and males participation rates $(49.5 \%, 49.3 \%)$. Participation rates in Punjab $(35.1 \%, 35.2)$ are nigh equivalent while lose some steam in the increasing order of Sindh (32.9\%, 32.7\%), KP ( $26.7 \%, 26.4 \%$ ) and Balochistan ( $26.9 \%$, $25.2 \%$ ). Similar configuration is observed in the case of augmented participation rates. See table-8 (figure-1) and Statistical Appendix Tables-14 to 14.4 and 15 to 15.4.

Table-8
CRUDE ACTIVITY (PARTICIPATION) RATES - PAKISTAN AND PROVINCES

| Province/ Area | 2009-10 |  |  |  |  | 2010-11 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | * Augmented |  | Total | Male | Female | * Augmented |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Female |  |  |  | Total | Female |
| Pakistan | 33.0 | 49.5 | 15.5 | 38.8 | 27.2 | 32.8 | 49.3 | 15.6 | 38.4 | 27.0 |
| Rural | 34.5 | 49.0 | 19.3 | 42.6 | 35.8 | 34.3 | 48.6 | 19.4 | 42.2 | 35.4 |
| Urban | 30.0 | 50.6 | 7.8 | 31.1 | 10.1 | 30.0 | 50.6 | 8.1 | 31.0 | 10.1 |
| Punjab | 35.1 | 50.5 | 19.2 | 38.2 | 25.4 | 35.2 | 50.6 | 19.6 | 38.2 | 25.5 |
| Rural | 36.9 | 50.0 | 23.5 | 41.2 | 32.1 | 37.1 | 50.1 | 24.0 | 41.2 | 32.2 |
| Urban | 31.4 | 51.6 | 10.0 | 32.0 | 11.3 | 31.2 | 51.5 | 10.1 | 31.8 | 11.2 |
| Sindh | 32.9 | 51.8 | 11.3 | 40.6 | 27.7 | 32.7 | 51.5 | 11.4 | 40.0 | 26.9 |
| Rural | 36.1 | 52.2 | 17.3 | 49.7 | 46.6 | 35.5 | 51.7 | 16.9 | 48.7 | 45.0 |
| Urban | 29.3 | 51.3 | 4.8 | 30.6 | 7.5 | 29.6 | 51.3 | 5.5 | 30.5 | 7.4 |
| KP | 26.7 | 43.1 | 10.3 | 38.2 | 33.0 | 26.4 | 42.5 | 10.4 | 37.0 | 31.3 |
| Rural | 26.9 | 42.8 | 11.1 | 40.1 | 37.0 | 26.5 | 42.0 | 11.1 | 38.6 | 35.1 |
| Urban | 25.8 | 44.8 | 6.4 | 29.1 | 13.0 | 26.2 | 44.9 | 6.5 | 29.0 | 12.2 |
| Balochistan | 26.9 | 44.5 | 7.0 | 37.5 | 29.3 | 25.2 | 42.7 | 5.6 | 37.6 | 31.5 |
| Rural | 28.0 | 46.0 | 7.8 | 40.9 | 35.0 | 25.9 | 43.3 | 6.3 | 40.6 | 37.4 |
| Urban | 23.3 | 39.7 | 4.4 | 26.3 | 10.9 | 23.1 | 40.7 | 3.3 | 27.6 | 12.9 |

Note:- * Males augmented activity rates being insignificantly higher than the standard crude rates are therefore not shown in this table.

FIGURE-1: CRUDE ACTIVITY (PARTICIPATION) RATES BY SEX FOR PAKISTAN, RURAL AND URBAN


2010-11


APR:- Augmented Participation Rates are based on additional probing questions asked from persons especially females engaged in housekeeping and other related activities.

## Labour Force Participation Rates: Refined

18. Generally, refined activity rates follow the pattern of crude participation rates, though, with wider rural-urban and male-female disparity. The refined activity rates of the comparative periods ( $45.9 \%, 45.7 \%$ ) are proximate. Similar pattern obtains in the case of urban ( $39.5 \%, 39.5 \%$ ), rural $(49.4 \%, 49.1 \%)$, females ( $21.5 \%, 21.7 \%$ ) and males $(68.8 \%$, $68.7 \%$ ) though the latter categories in the pertinent order by area and sex, do lose some fractions. A sort of fractional change is observed in the profile of provinces, positive in the case of Punjab $(48.1 \%, 48.3 \%)$ while negative in Sindh ( $45.6 \%, 45.0 \%$ ), KP $(38.6 \%, 38.1 \%)$ and Balochistan ( $41.2 \%, 39.8 \%$ ). See table-9 and Statistical Appendix Tables-14 to 14.4 and 15 to 15.4 .

Table-9
REFINED ACTIVITY (PARTICIPATION) RATES - PAKISTAN AND PROVINCES
(\%)

| Province/Area | 2009-10 |  |  |  |  | 2010-11 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | *Augmented |  | Total | Male | Female | *Augmented |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Female |  |  |  | Total | Female |
| Pakistan | 45.9 | 68.8 | 21.5 | 53.9 | 37.9 | 45.7 | 68.7 | 21.7 | 53.5 | 37.4 |
| Rural | 49.4 | 70.2 | 27.6 | 61.0 | 51.2 | 49.1 | 70.0 | 27.6 | 60.4 | 50.3 |
| Urban | 39.5 | 66.4 | 10.3 | 41.0 | 13.3 | 39.5 | 66.4 | 10.7 | 40.8 | 13.3 |
| Punjab | 48.1 | 69.3 | 26.2 | 52.3 | 34.7 | 48.3 | 69.7 | 26.7 | 52.3 | 34.7 |
| Rural | 51.7 | 70.3 | 32.8 | 57.7 | 44.8 | 51.8 | 70.6 | 33.2 | 57.5 | 44.4 |
| Urban | 41.1 | 67.5 | 13.1 | 41.9 | 14.7 | 41.2 | 68.0 | 13.4 | 42.0 | 14.9 |
| Sindh | 45.6 | 71.3 | 15.8 | 56.4 | 38.9 | 45.0 | 70.3 | 15.9 | 55.1 | 37.5 |
| Rural | 53.3 | 76.3 | 25.8 | 73.4 | 69.7 | 52.3 | 75.2 | 25.2 | 71.7 | 67.2 |
| Urban | 38.2 | 66.4 | 6.3 | 39.9 | 9.9 | 38.1 | 65.5 | 7.2 | 39.3 | 9.6 |
| KP | 38.6 | 63.2 | 14.7 | 55.2 | 46.9 | 38.1 | 62.6 | 14.7 | 53.4 | 44.3 |
| Rural | 39.2 | 63.4 | 15.9 | 58.4 | 53.1 | 38.6 | 62.7 | 15.9 | 56.4 | 50.1 |
| Urban | 35.6 | 62.3 | 8.7 | 40.3 | 17.9 | 36.0 | 62.5 | 8.8 | 39.9 | 16.6 |
| Balochistan | 41.2 | 65.1 | 11.3 | 57.4 | 47.4 | 39.8 | 64.7 | 9.2 | 59.3 | 52.2 |
| Rural | 43.2 | 67.5 | 12.7 | 63.1 | 57.1 | 41.5 | 66.4 | 10.6 | 65.1 | 63.2 |
| Urban | 34.9 | 57.5 | 6.9 | 39.5 | 17.1 | 34.8 | 59.6 | 5.1 | 41.6 | 20.0 |

Note:- * Males augmented activity rates being insignificantly higher than the standard refined rates are therefore not shown in this table.

## Labour Force Participation Rates: Age Specific

19. Table-10 (figure 2) presents comparative picture of age specific participation rates (ASPR). As expected, the age intervals between twenties and fifties (20-59) mark the most productive period of life. The comparative size of gender disparity, though, considerable in all age intervals, seems to be shrinking. As for change during the comparative periods, early twenties \& below, latter forties \& above, as well as, early forties put off while latter twenties to early thirties add some fractions. Generally, similar scenario obtains more in the case of males than females See Statistical Appendix Table-14.

Table-10
AGE SPECIFIC ACTIVITY (PARTICIPATION) RATES - BY SEX FOR PAKISTAN

| Age Groups | 2009-10 |  |  | 2010-11 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| $10-14$ | 12.6 | 15.4 | 9.2 | 11.8 | 14.3 | 8.8 |
| $15-19$ | 37.1 | 52.7 | 19.2 | 36.4 | 51.6 | 19.6 |
| $20-24$ | 54.7 | 84.5 | 23.9 | 53.8 | 84.3 | 24.2 |
| $25-29$ | 58.0 | 96.3 | 24.7 | 58.9 | 96.8 | 25.0 |
| $30-34$ | 59.1 | 97.6 | 26.4 | 59.5 | 98.2 | 25.9 |
| $35-39$ | 62.2 | 97.4 | 29.0 | 62.5 | 98.4 | 29.0 |
| $40-44$ | 62.4 | 97.7 | 26.6 | 64.2 | 98.3 | 30.0 |
| $45-49$ | 65.0 | 97.4 | 29.5 | 64.8 | 97.8 | 28.6 |
| $50-54$ | 64.7 | 96.4 | 29.3 | 63.5 | 96.6 | 28.1 |
| $55-59$ | 62.6 | 93.3 | 28.0 | 61.5 | 92.2 | 26.3 |
| $60+$ | 37.6 | 55.5 | 13.5 | 37.3 | 55.0 | 11.9 |

FIGURE-2: AGE SPECIFIC ACTIVITY RATE (ASAR) BY SEX FOR PAKISTAN


## Labour Force: Absolute Figures

20. The absolute figure of labour force is the multiple of crude participation rate with midreference year estimate of the population. The numbers of employed and unemployed persons are the "labour force multiplied with their percentages". The labour force increases from 56.33 million in 2009-10 to 57.24 million in 2010-11. The volume of labour force increases in order of Punjab ( 0.47 million), Sindh ( 0.26 million), KP ( 0.16 million), and Balochistan ( 0.02
million). The change in the provincial profile is more of rural origin in Punjab \& KP while urban in the case of Sindh \& Balochistan. Further, the change is more pronounced in the case of males in all provinces except in Punjab. See table-11.

Table-11
CIVILIAN LABOUR FORCE - PAKISTAN AND PROVINCES
(Million)

| Province/Area | Labour Force |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |  |  |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Pakistan | $\mathbf{5 6 . 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 2 9}$ |
| Rural | 39.14 | 28.48 | 10.66 | 39.70 | 28.69 | 11.01 |
| Urban | 17.19 | 15.05 | 2.14 | 17.54 | 15.26 | 2.28 |
| Punjab | $\mathbf{3 3 . 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 4 6}$ |
| Rural | 24.08 | 16.51 | 7.57 | 24.49 | 16.60 | 7.89 |
| Urban | 9.81 | 8.29 | 1.52 | 9.87 | 8.30 | 1.57 |
| Sindh | $\mathbf{1 3 . 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$ |
| Rural | 7.94 | 6.19 | 1.75 | 8.00 | 6.23 | 1.77 |
| Urban | 5.86 | 5.40 | 0.46 | 6.06 | 5.53 | 0.53 |
| KP | $\mathbf{6 . 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 9}$ |
| Rural | 5.36 | 4.25 | 1.11 | 5.46 | 4.31 | 1.15 |
| Urban | 1.06 | 0.93 | 0.13 | 1.12 | 0.98 | 0.14 |
| Balochistan | $\mathbf{2 . 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 4}$ |
| Rural | 1.76 | 1.53 | 0.23 | 1.75 | 1.55 | 0.20 |
| Urban | 0.46 | 0.43 | 0.03 | 0.49 | 0.45 | 0.04 |

Note:- Figures for the year 2009-10 will not tally with the figures given in the report of LFS 2009-10 due to the revision of revised population projections of the Planning Commission.
Source:- Derived from Statistical Appendix Tables 6 to 6.4.

## Employed: Absolute Figures

21. The number of employed increases from 53.21 million in 2009-10 to 53.84 million in 2010-11. The size of change is in the descending order of Punjab ( 0.31 million), KP ( 0.18 million), Sindh ( 0.13 million) and Balochistan ( 0.01 million). The volume of employed is on rise in rural Punjab \& Sindh and, for female while change is negative in the case of males and, in urban areas. Completely opposite scenario obtains in Balochistan while KP's profile betrays positive change across the area and gender. Dip in the volume of employed is precipitated by higher share of unemployed in the quantum of rise in the labour force during the comparative periods. See table-12.

Table-12
EMPLOYED - PAKISTAN AND PROVINCES
(Million)

| Province/Area | Employed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ |  |  |  | 2010-11 |  |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Pakistan | $\mathbf{5 3 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 1 1}$ |
| Rural | 37.25 | 27.36 | 9.89 | 37.85 | 27.55 | 10.30 |
| Urban | 15.96 | 14.26 | 1.70 | 15.99 | 14.18 | 1.81 |
| Punjab | $\mathbf{3 1 . 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 6 7}$ |
| Rural | 22.92 | 15.83 | 7.09 | 23.24 | 15.84 | 7.40 |
| Urban | 9.03 | 7.79 | 1.24 | 9.02 | 7.75 | 1.27 |
| Sindh | $\mathbf{1 3 . 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 4}$ |
| Rural | 7.70 | 6.07 | 1.63 | 7.85 | 6.13 | 1.72 |
| Urban | 5.53 | 5.17 | 0.36 | 5.51 | 5.09 | 0.42 |
| KP | $\mathbf{5 . 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 8}$ |
| Rural | 4.91 | 3.96 | 0.95 | 5.05 | 4.06 | 0.99 |
| Urban | 0.96 | 0.87 | 0.09 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.09 |
| Balochistan | $\mathbf{2 . 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 2}$ |
| Rural | 1.72 | 1.51 | 0.21 | 1.71 | 1.52 | 0.19 |
| Urban | 0.44 | 0.42 | 0.02 | 0.46 | 0.43 | 0.03 |

Note:- Figures for the year 2009-10 will not tally with the figures given in the report of LFS 2009-10 due to the revision of revised population projections of the Planning Commission.
Source:- Derived from Statistical Appendix Tables 6 to 6.4.

## Employed: Major Industry Division

22. Employment share of manufacturing (13.7\%), construction (7\%) and agriculture \& allied activities ( $45.1 \%$ ) puts on some fractions in the same order as compared to the respective estimate of LFS $2009-10(13.2 \%, 6.7 \%, 45.0 \%$, $)$. The change in the foremost is nigh masculine, the lattermost's is equivalent by sex while the middle one's calculus is generally beholden to males. All other industry divisions slacken of sorts during the comparative periods owing to change in the shares of males. See table-13 (Figure-3) and Statistical Appendix Table-16.

Table-13
EMPLOYED - DISTRIBUTION BY MAJOR INDUSTRY DIVISIONS

| Major Industry Divisions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ |  |  | 2010-11 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture/ forestry/hunting \& fishing | 45.0 | 36.6 | 74.9 | 45.1 | 36.2 | 75.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manufacturing | 13.2 | 13.9 | 11.0 | 13.7 | 14.5 | 10.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction | 6.7 | 8.5 | 0.3 | 7.0 | 8.9 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wholesale \& retail trade | 16.3 | 20.2 | 2.1 | 16.2 | 20.4 | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transport/storage \& communication | 5.2 | 6.6 | 0.3 | 5.1 | 6.6 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community/social \& personal services | 11.2 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 11.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Others | 2.4 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Others (includes mining \& quarrying, electricity, gas \& water, financing, insurance, real estate \& business services and extraterritorial organizations and bodies)

FIGURE -3 PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYED: MAJOR INDUSTRY DIVISIONS
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## Employed: Major Occupational Groups

23. Skilled agriculture \& fishery workers constitute the largest group (37.6\%) of the total employed in 2010-11 followed by elementary occupations (19.6\%), craft \& related trades workers (15.0\%), legislator/senior officials \& managers (11.3\%), technician \& associate professionals (5.3\%), services workers (4.7\%), plant/machine operators \& assemblers (3.5\%), professional (1.8\%) and clerks (1.2\%). Nine-tenth of the females workers toil in farming activities (62.3\%), elementary occupations (16.9\%) and craft \& related trade workers ( $10.5 \%$ ) while, males seem to be distributed a sort of proportionately in all activities. The elementary occupations, craft \& related workers and technicians \& associate professionals seem to be gaining steam, legislators/senior officials \& managers level same while the remaining groups tend to curve down during the comparative periods. See table-14 (Figure -4) and Statistical Appendix Table-17.

Table-14
EMPLOYED - DISTRIBUTION BY MAJOR OCCUPATIONAL GROUPS

| Major Occupational Groups | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ |  |  | 2010-11 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Legislators/senior officials \& managers | 12.0 | 14.8 | 1.8 | 11.3 | 14.0 | 1.7 |
| Professionals | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.4 |
| Technicians \& associate professionals | 5.1 | 4.8 | 6.2 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 6.6 |
| Clerks | 1.3 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.1 |
| Service workers/ shop \& market sales <br> workers | 4.9 | 6.1 | 0.8 | 4.7 | 6.0 | 0.4 |
| Skilled agricultural \& fishery workers | 37.9 | 31.0 | 62.7 | 37.6 | 30.4 | 62.3 |
| Craft \& related trades workers | 14.6 | 15.6 | 10.9 | 15.0 | 16.3 | 10.5 |
| Plant/ machine operators \& assemblers | 3.9 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 0.1 |
| Elementary (unskilled) occupations | 18.5 | 19.1 | 16.0 | 19.6 | 20.3 | 16.9 |



## Employed: Employment Status

24. Employees constitute the largest group (36.0\%) followed by own account workers ( $34.9 \%$ ), contributing family workers ( $27.7 \%$ ) and employers ( $1.4 \%$ ). More than two-third females female workers toil as contributing family workers (63.4\%) while eight out of ten males are own account workers(40.5\%), employees(40.4\%) and employers(1.8\%). As for change during the comparative periods, own account workers ( $34.2 \%, 34.9 \%$ ) and employees $(35.4 \%, 36 \%)$ add some fractions, employers $(1.3 \%, 1.4 \%)$ level nigh same while contributing family workers $(29.1 \%, 27.7 \%)$ seem declining. See table-15 and Statistical Appendix Table-18.

Table-15
EMPLOYED - DISTRIBUTION BY EMPLOYMENT STATUS AND SEX

| Employment Status | 2009-10 |  |  | 2010-11 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Employers | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 0.1 |
| Own account workers | 34.2 | 40.0 | 13.6 | 34.9 | 40.5 | 15.6 |
| Contributing family workers | 29.1 | 18.7 | 66.3 | 27.7 | 17.3 | 63.4 |
| Employees | 35.4 | 39.7 | 20.0 | 36.0 | 40.4 | 20.9 |

Note:- "Other" due to negligible size is included in own account workers.

## Employed: Number of Hours Worked During the Week

25. About one in a hundred and forty ( $0.7 \%$ ) of the currently employed persons constitute the group of people who had a job attachment but did not work last week due to certain reasons. Nigh two out of seven ( $28.4 \%$ ) worked 56 hours or more a week. More than two out of four ( $84.9 \%$ ) worked more than " 35 hours a week"- the duration representing full (time related) employment. As for provinces, the proportion of "not worked" ranges from minimum in Sindh and Balochistan ( $0.2 \%$ ) to maximum in KP ( $1.7 \%$ ) with Punjab ( $0.7 \%$ ) lying in between. For those worked more the 56 hours a week, Sindh's share is the maximum ( $31.1 \%$ ) and KP's minimum (18.6\%) with Punjab (29.6\%) and Balochistan (20.9\%) bridging the extremes in the same order. Generally, the profile of comparative surveys is almost similar. See table-16 and Statistical Appendix Tables-18 to 18.4.

Table-16
EMPLOYED - DISTRIBUTION BY HOURS WORKED, 2010-11

| Area/ <br> Province | Total <br> employed | Not <br> worked | Less than <br> $\mathbf{1 5}$ hours | $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 4}$ <br> Hours | $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 4}$ <br> hours | $\mathbf{3 5 - 4 1}$ <br> Hours | $\mathbf{4 2 - 4 8}$ <br> Hours | $\mathbf{4 9 - 5 5}$ <br> Hours | $\mathbf{5 6}$ hours <br> \& above |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pakistan | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 4}$ |
| Rural | 100.0 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 6.8 | 8.7 | 23.3 | 22.5 | 11.1 | 24.9 |
| Urban | 100.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 4.2 | 13.6 | 29.1 | 12.7 | 36.8 |
| Punjab | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 6}$ |
| Rural | 100.0 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 7.6 | 9.4 | 20.4 | 21.6 | 11.3 | 27.2 |
| Urban | 100.0 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 4.9 | 14.5 | 28.3 | 12.0 | 35.9 |
| Sindh | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 1}$ |
| Rural | 100.0 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 4.6 | 6.9 | 29.9 | 20.7 | 12.0 | 24.1 |
| Urban | 100.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 11.7 | 28.4 | 14.1 | 41.2 |
| KP | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 6}$ |
| Rural | 100.0 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 9.0 | 10.1 | 24.7 | 25.1 | 8.8 | 17.0 |
| Urban | 100.0 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 17.8 | 31.7 | 11.9 | 26.5 |
| Balochistan | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 9}$ |
| Rural | 100.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 3.9 | 27.9 | 35.2 | 11.3 | 20.2 |
| Urban | 100.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 11.1 | 48.7 | 13.4 | 23.7 |

Note:- Total may not add to 100 due to rounding effect.

## Employed: Informal Sector

26. Informal sector accounts for more than seven-tenth (73.8\%) of non-agricultural employment, more in rural ( $76.5 \%$ ) than in urban areas ( $71.2 \%$ ). On the other hand, formal sector activities are concentrated more in urban areas (28.8\%) than in rural areas ( $23.5 \%$ ). Females in comparison with respective share of males fare more numerous in urban formal ( $36.9 \%$ ) and less in rural formal ( $21 \%$ ) while, males are more numerous in urban informal ( $72.4 \%$ ) than in rural informal ( $76.2 \%$ ) compared to respective shares of females. Informal sector waxes while formal wanes. Males shares are more in tandem while shares of females shares situate dissonantly-recede in the former while rise in the latter. See table-17 and Statistical Appendix Table-20.

Table-17
FORMAL AND INFORMAL SECTORS - DISTRIBUTION OF NON-AGRICULTURE WORKERS
(\%)

| Sector | 2009-10 |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Formal | 26.7 | 26.7 | 26.9 | 26.2 | 25.9 | 28.9 |
| Informal | 73.3 | 73.3 | 73.1 | 73.8 | 74.1 | 71.1 |
| Rural | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Formal | 23.7 | 23.8 | 22.3 | 23.5 | 23.8 | 21.0 |
| Informal | 76.3 | 76.2 | 77.7 | 76.5 | 76.2 | 79.0 |
| Urban | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Formal | 29.6 | 29.4 | 31.6 | 28.8 | 27.6 | 36.9 |
| Informal | 70.4 | 70.6 | 68.4 | 71.2 | 72.4 | 63.1 |

Source: - Derived from Statistical Appendix Table-20.

## Major Industry Divisions: Informal Sector

27. According to size of respective shares, the industry groupings form a descending sequence of wholesale and retail trade ( $38.9 \%$ ) manufacturing ( $22.3 \%$ ), construction (16.1\%), transport ( $10.7 \%$ ) and community, social \& personal services ( $10.0 \%$ ). The other category (comprising of mining \& quarrying; electricity, gas \& water and finance, insurance, real estate \& business services) accounts for two percent. Manufacturing, accounts for more than half ( $57.4 \%$ ) of females as compared to about one-fifth ( $18.6 \%$ ) of males, wholesale \& retail trade, employs more than two-fifth ( $42.1 \%$ ) of males compared to about one-tenth ( $9.2 \%$ ) of females while community/social \& personal services embrace near three-tenth ( $31.9 \%$ ) of females. The foremost trends up while the middle one and the lattermost curve down. Transport, storage \& communication level same approximately while construction tends to rise during the comparative periods. See table-18 and Statistical Appendix Table-21.

Table-18
INFORMAL SECTORS WORKERS - DISTRIBUTION BY MAJOR INDUSTRY DIVISIONS

| Major Industry Divisions | 2009-10 |  |  | 2010-11 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Manufacturing | 21.4 | 17.8 | 54.6 | 22.3 | 18.6 | 57.4 |
| Construction | 15.8 | 17.4 | 1.2 | 16.1 | 17.7 | 0.9 |
| Wholesale \& retail trade | 39.2 | 42.2 | 11.5 | 38.9 | 42.1 | 9.2 |
| Transport, storage \& communication | 10.8 | 11.9 | 0.8 | 10.7 | 11.8 | 0.4 |
| Community, social \& personal services | 10.8 | 8.5 | 31.7 | 10.0 | 7.6 | 31.9 |
| * Others | 2.0 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 0.2 |

*Others (includes mining \& quarrying; electricity, gas \& water and finance, insurance, real estate \& business services)

## Major Occupational Groups: Informal Sector

28. About three in ten ( $31.1 \%$ ) are reported as craft \& related trade workers, while near one-half comprises legislator/senior officials \& managers (24.3\%) and elementary (unskilled) occupations (23.2\%). Services workers/shop \& market sales workers come fourth (8.7\%) followed by plant/machine operators \& assemblers (6.0\%), technicians \& associate professionals ( $4.5 \%$ ) and professionals ( $1.9 \%$ ). About six-tenth ( $56.9 \%$ ) of females compared to over three-tenth $(28.3 \%)$ of males are engaged in craft \& related trade activities. Technicians and associate professionals are also female-intensive while males dominate in rest of occupations though, skilled agriculture \& fishery workers do exhibit gender even composition. Plant/machine operators \& assemblers and legislators/senior officials and managers are exceptionally male-intensive in the same order. As for change during the comparative periods, the feminine groups wax while masculine wane. See table-19 and Statistical Appendix Table-22.

Table-19
INFORMAL SECTORS WORKERS - DISTRIBUTION BY MAJOR OCCUPATIONAL GROUPS

| Major Occupational Groups | 2009-10 |  |  | 2010-11 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Legislators/senior officials \& managers | 26.0 | 28.0 | 8.2 | 24.3 | 26.0 | 7.6 |
| Professionals | 2.2 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 3.3 |
| Technicians \& associate professionals | 4.2 | 3.4 | 10.9 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 11.8 |
| Clerks | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Service workers/ shop \& market sales <br> workers | 9.0 | 9.6 | 3.6 | 8.7 | 9.4 | 2.2 |
| Skilled agricultural \& fishery workers | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Craft \& related trades workers | 29.8 | 27.0 | 54.9 | 31.1 | 28.3 | 56.9 |
| Plant/ machine operators \& assemblers | 6.4 | 7.1 | 0.4 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 0.2 |
| Elementary (unskilled) occupations | 22.1 | 22.5 | 18.2 | 23.2 | 23.8 | 17.7 |

## Employment Status: Informal Sector

29. The employment status chiefly consists in the categories of employees $(44.0 \%)$ and own account workers ( $42.7 \%$ ). The former constitutes the largest share of females ( $44.9 \%$ ) and latter, of males ( $43.4 \%$ ). About one in ten workers ( $10.4 \%$ ) is reported as contributing family worker and near one in thirty-three ( $2.9 \%$ ) are comprised of employers. The female contributing family workers (18\%) are about twice of males ( $9.6 \%$ ) while employers are predominantly male intensive. As far change during the comparative periods, all seem to be waxing except contributing family workers. See table-20 and Statistical Appendix Table-23.

Table-20
INFORMAL SECTORS WORKERS - DISTRIBUTION BY EMPLOYMENT STATUS
(\%)

| Employment Status | 2009-10 |  |  | 2010-11 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Employers | 2.5 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 0.7 |
| Own account workers | 42.0 | 43.1 | 31.7 | 42.7 | 43.4 | 36.4 |
| Contributing family workers | 11.2 | 10.3 | 20.0 | 10.4 | 9.6 | 18.0 |
| Employees | 44.3 | 43.9 | 47.6 | 44.0 | 43.9 | 44.9 |

## Unemployment Rates: Overall

30. The social security net in Pakistan is far from exhaustive. The distribution of assets is highly skewed and something to fall back on in rainy season is simply not available to most of the populace. Thus, a miniscule minority can afford to remain off the work. Further, employment scene is preponderated by agriculture and informal activities with low threshold of entry, exit and, paraphernalia of requisites. As such, most of the people tend to get engaged into some sort of economic activities irrespective of any considerations regarding the size of reward and working age, in order to make both the ends meet. Thus, unemployment rate in Pakistan tends to be estimated lower than perceived.
31. Unemployment rate $(6.0 \%)$ fare higher than that of the previous survey (5.6\%). Opposite configuration obtains in the case of males and females-rise in the former (4.4\%), $5.1 \%$ ) more than offsets the decline in the latter $(9.5 \%, 8.9 \%)$. Urban rates follow the similar pattern while rural ones fare nigh equivalently. See table-21.

Table-21
UNEMPLOYMENT RATES - BY AREA AND SEX
(\%)

| Area/Sex | 2009-10 |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Pakistan | $\mathbf{5 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 9}$ |
| Rural | 4.8 | 3.9 | 7.2 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 6.4 |
| Urban | 7.2 | 5.3 | 20.8 | 8.8 | 7.1 | 20.7 |

## Unemployment Rates: Age Specific

32. The comparative age specific unemployment rates of early teens (10-14) and early thirties to latter fifties (30-59) are on wane while that of latter teens to latter twenties (15-29) are on wane while those of latter teen to early twenties and sixties \& above tend to scale up. Comparative change in the age specific rates appears more pronounced in the case of females than males unemployment rates. See table-22 (figure 5) and Statistical Appendix Table-14.

Table-22
UNEMPLOYMENT RATES - BY SEX AND AGE
(\%)

| Age Groups | 2009-10 |  |  | 2010-11 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| $10-14$ | 10.8 | 9.8 | 12.9 | 10.3 | 11.5 | 8.0 |
| $15-19$ | 8.9 | 8.3 | 10.9 | 10.6 | 10.3 | 11.4 |
| $20-24$ | 7.9 | 6.8 | 12.1 | 10.0 | 8.5 | 15.2 |
| $25-29$ | 4.8 | 3.4 | 9.3 | 5.4 | 4.4 | 8.8 |
| $30-34$ | 2.6 | 1.5 | 5.8 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 4.3 |
| $35-39$ | 2.3 | 1.5 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 4.1 |
| $40-44$ | 1.8 | 1.3 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 3.7 |
| $45-49$ | 2.8 | 2.3 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 4.1 |
| $50-54$ | 4.4 | 2.9 | 10.1 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 5.0 |
| $55-59$ | 5.9 | 3.9 | 13.4 | 5.3 | 4.4 | 8.8 |
| 60 years and above | 10.6 | 7.3 | 28.6 | 11.9 | 8.3 | 29.1 |

FIGURE-5: AGE SPECIFIC UNEMPLOYMENT RATES (ASUR) BY SEX FOR PAKISTAN


## Unemployed: Absolute Figures

33. The volume of unemployed persons increases from 3.12 million in 2009-10 to 3.40 million in 2010-11. Areas and sex wise, the change is more of urban and male provenance. Volume of unemployed persons increases in Punjab, more in rural than urban areas, and, urban areas of Sindh \& KP while, decreases in rural areas of KP \& Sindh and, levels nigh
same in Balochistan. Sex specifically, the change in the comparative profile of Punjab, KP and Sindh is more in the case of males while, Balochistan's is gender equivalent.

Table-23
UNEMPLOYED - PAKISTAN AND PROVINCES
(Million)

| Province/Area | Unemployed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ |  |  | 2010-11 |  |  |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Pakistan | $\mathbf{3 . 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 8}$ |
| Rural | 1.89 | 1.12 | 0.77 | 1.85 | 1.14 | 0.71 |
| Urban | 1.23 | 0.79 | 0.44 | 1.55 | 1.08 | 0.47 |
| Punjab | $\mathbf{1 . 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 9}$ |
| Rural | 1.16 | 0.68 | 0.48 | 1.25 | 0.76 | 0.49 |
| Urban | 0.78 | 0.50 | 0.28 | 0.85 | 0.55 | 0.30 |
| Sindh | $\mathbf{0 . 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 6}$ |
| Rural | 0.24 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.05 |
| Urban | 0.33 | 0.23 | 0.10 | 0.55 | 0.44 | 0.11 |
| KP | $\mathbf{0 . 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 1}$ |
| Rural | 0.45 | 0.29 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 0.25 | 0.16 |
| Urban | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.05 |
| Balochistan | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2}$ |
| Rural | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| Urban | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 |

Note:- Figures for the year 2009-10 will not tally with the figures given in the report of LFS 2009-10 due to the revision of revised population projections of the Planning Commission.
Source:- Derived from Statistical Appendix Tables 6 to 6.4 .

## Underemployment Rates: Time-related

34. Underemployment rates decrease from $1.25 \%$ in $2009-10$ to $1.19 \%$ in 2010-11. Sex wise, the increase in the case of females is more than offset by decrease in the males underemployment. Area wise underemployment rates curve down equivalently. Further, change in the area wise underemployment rates is more visible in the case of females than males. Given the stagnating economic scenario, decreasing underemployment rates seems to signify increasing recourse to multiple jobs to help grapple with the rising cost of living. See table-24.

Table-24
UNDEREMPLOYMENT (TIME-RELATED) RATES OF PAKISTAN-BY AREA AND GENDER
(\%)

| Areas | 2009-10 |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Pakistan | 1.25 | 1.10 | 1.73 | 1.19 | 0.93 | 2.09 |
| Rural | 1.39 | 1.31 | 1.61 | 1.34 | 1.12 | 1.92 |
| Urban | 0.91 | 0.71 | 2.31 | 0.86 | 0.56 | 2.90 |

Source:- Derived from Statistical Appendix Tables 6 and 24.

## Employed: Occupational Safety and Health

35. About one in thirty ( $3.5 \%$ ) employed persons report some sort of occupational injury/disease in the 12 months preceding the date of enumeration that resulted into the loss of working time or the consultation with a practitioner. The percentage suffered finds male workers more vulnerable (4.1\%) relative to female workers (1.5\%). Similarly, rural workers ( $4.0 \%$ ) are more vulnerable than urban workers ( $2.3 \%$ ). Vulnerability seems to be rising during the comparative period, across the area and gender equivalently. See table-28.

Table- 25
OCCUPATIONAL INJURIES/DISEASES - EMPLOYED PERSONS $10+$ YEARS OF AGE BY STATUS
(\%)

| Status of Injuries/ <br> Diseases | 2009-10 |  |  | 2010-11 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Suffered | 2.9 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 1.5 |
| Not suffered | 97.1 | 96.5 | 99.1 | 96.5 | 95.9 | 98.5 |
| Rural | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Suffered | 3.4 | 4.3 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 1.7 |
| Not suffered | 96.6 | 95.7 | 99.0 | 96.0 | 95.1 | 98.3 |
| Urban | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Suffered | 1.8 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 0.6 |
| Not suffered | 98.2 | 98.0 | 99.9 | 97.7 | 97.5 | 99.4 |

## Major Industry Divisions: Occupational Safety and Health

36. Mainly, the sufferers belong to agriculture (49.8\%), manufacturing (15.8\%), construction (13.0\%), wholesale \& retail trade (10.3\%) and transport/storage \& communication ( $7.1 \%$ ). Females are twice ( $88.2 \%$ ) as exposed to risk as males ( $45.6 \%$ ) in agriculture. Contrarily, males are more vulnerable in manufacturing ( $16.7 \%, 7.1 \%$ ) and community/social \& personal services ( $3.4 \%, 1.8 \%$ ). The activities of construction, wholesale \& retail trade and transport/storage \& communication are generally masculine in the composition of sufferers. The comparative risk profile of manufacturing deteriorates a sort while those of remaining grouping seem to be improving. See table-26 and Statistical Appendix Table-29.

Table-26
OCCUPATIONAL INJURIES/DISEASES -DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYED PERSONS BY MAJOR INDUSTRY DIVISIONS
(\%)

| Major Industry Divisions | 2009-10 |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Agriculture, forestry, hunting and fishing | 50.2 | 47.0 | 94.3 | 49.8 | 45.6 | 88.2 |
| Mining \& quarrying | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | 0.2 | 0.3 | - |
| Manufacturing | 12.8 | 13.6 | 2.0 | 15.8 | 16.7 | 7.1 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 0.4 | 0.4 | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | - |
| Construction | 14.3 | 15.2 | 1.3 | 13.0 | 14.5 | 0.3 |
| Wholesale \& retail trade and restaurants <br> \& hotels | 10.6 | 11.3 | 0.6 | 10.3 | 11.2 | 2.4 |
| Transport, storage and communication | 8.0 | 8.6 | - | 7.1 | 7.8 | 0.2 |
| Financing, insurance, real estate and <br> business services | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | 0.3 | 0.3 | - |
| Community, social and personal services | 3.6 | 3.7 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 1.8 |

Note:- (-) Stands for no information

## Major Occupational Groups: Occupational Safety and Health

37. The major groupings of the sufferers comprise skilled agriculture \& fishery activities (44.6\%), elementary occupations (22.3\%), craft \& related trades workers (20.5\%), plant and machinery operator ( $4.9 \%$ ) and legislators/senior officials \& managers (4.1\%). Risk profile of the foremost, home to about four-fifth ( $78.5 \%$ ) of female sufferers, deteriorates, though for males. Elementary occupations betray similar pattern by sex though, level same in toto. As for the masculine groups of plant/machine operators \& assemblers and legislators/senior officials \& managers, both indicate improvement of sorts. See table-27 and Statistical Appendix Table-30.

Table-27
OCCUPATIONAL INJURIES/DISEASES -DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYED PERSONS BY MAJOR OCCUPATIONAL GROUPS
(\%)

| Major Occupational Groups | 2009-10 |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Legislators/senior officials \& managers | 5.5 | 5.9 | 0.6 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 1.1 |
| Professionals | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | 0.3 | 0.3 | - |
| Technicians \& associate professionals | 0.9 | 1.0 | - | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| Clerks | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | - |
| Service workers/ shop \& market sales workers | 3.1 | 3.4 | - | 1.9 | 2.2 | - |
| Skilled agricultural \& fishery workers | 43.5 | 40.6 | 83.9 | 44.6 | 40.9 | 78.5 |
| Craft \& related trades workers | 18.9 | 20.1 | 2.0 | 20.5 | 22.0 | 6.6 |
| Plant/ machine operators \& assemblers | 5.5 | 5.9 | - | 4.9 | 5.4 | 0.2 |
| Elementary (unskilled) occupations | 22.3 | 22.9 | 13.5 | 22.3 | 23.3 | 13.3 |

Note:- (-) Stands for insignificant or no information.

## Employment Status: Occupational Safety and Health

38. According to the relative size of the risk profile, own account workers ( $40.9 \%$ ), employees ( $35.1 \%$ ) and contributing family workers ( $22.0 \%$ ) take exhaustive account of the sufferers in the same order. The $1^{\text {st }}$ two categories seem to be getting less, while the last one more, riskier during the comparative periods. The change is male-disfavouring in the foremost, equivalent by sex in the middle one and female-disfavouring in the lattermost case. Employers, due to their minuscule size, do not behoove of forming any pattern. See table-28 and Statistical Appendix Table-31.

Table-28
OCCUPATIONAL INJURIES/DISEASES - DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYED PERSONS BY EMPLOYMENT STATUS
(\%)

| Employment Status | 2009-10 |  |  | 2010-11 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Employers | 0.6 | 0.6 | - | 1.0 | 1.1 | - |
| Own account workers | 41.6 | 43.8 | 10.2 | 40.9 | 44.9 | 4.0 |
| Contributing family workers | 20.2 | 15.8 | 82.9 | 22.0 | 14.8 | 87.7 |
| Employees | 37.6 | 39.8 | 6.9 | 35.1 | 39.2 | 8.3 |

Note:- (-) Stands for no information.

## Types of Treatment Received: Occupational Safety and Health

39. Majority ( $52.6 \%$ ) of the sufferers is reported to have consulted a doctor or other medical professionals, near one-in-ten (8.9\%) get hospitalized and about one-fourth (23.3\%) take time off work. Vulnerability rises in the $1^{\text {st }}$ and last category and declines in the middle one. The change is females-favouring in the foremost, sex-neutral in the middle one while male-favouring in the lattermost category. The remaining one-seventh (15.2\%) did not avail themselves of any of the aforementioned options, increase over time alluding to a sort of increasing marginalization at the workplace. See table-29 and Statistical Appendix Table-32.

Table-29
OCCUPATIONAL INJURIES/DISEASES - DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYED PERSONS 10 + YEARS OF AGE BY TYPES OF TREATMENT RECEIVED
(\%)

| Types of Treatment Received | 2009-10 |  |  | 2010-11 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Hospitalized | 11.0 | 11.3 | 7.2 | 8.9 | 9.2 | 5.2 |
| Consulted a Doctor or other Medical <br> Professional | 51.3 | 52.1 | 39.9 | 52.6 | 55.3 | 27.9 |
| Took time off work | 22.4 | 21.9 | 43.8 | 23.3 | 19.6 | 57.5 |
| None | 14.3 | 14.7 | 9.1 | 15.2 | 15.9 | 9.4 |

## Wages

## Major Industry Division: Wages

40. Generally, size of wage seems to higher in tertiary activities followed by secondary and primary assignments. The size of wage also appears to be influenced positively by the element of white-collar ness of an industry/division. The gender differential in wages seems to be influenced by the gender composition of group. Arguably, the nominal wage is trending up during the comparative period. Since females constitute much lower proportion of wage earners, a sort of anomalous position in their case is observed in certain categories, which may be attributed to sampling effect and consequential scariness of response. See table- 30 and Statistical Appendix Table-38.

Table-30
AVERAGE MONTHLY WAGES OF EMPLOYEES BY MAJOR INDUSTRY DIVISIONS
(Rs)

| Major Industry Divisions | 2009-10 |  |  | 2010-11 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 6 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 2 2}$ |
| Agriculture, forestry, hunting and fishing | 4968 | 5730 | 3358 | 5649 | 6673 | 3577 |
| Mining \& quarrying | 6976 | 6963 | 9600 | 12000 | 12000 | - |
| Manufacturing | 7534 | 8080 | 3437 | 8472 | 9085 | 3600 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 14548 | 14398 | 25325 | 17235 | 17242 | 16896 |
| Construction | 7389 | 7397 | 6207 | 8272 | 8270 | 8869 |
|  <br> hotels | 6609 | 6660 | 4013 | 6862 | 6864 | 6684 |
| Transport, storage and communication | 9396 | 9328 | 13685 | 10378 | 10356 | 13838 |
| Financing, insurance, real estate and business <br> services | 18174 | 17897 | 31240 | 20695 | 20705 | 20269 |
| Community, social and personal services | 10984 | 11771 | 7761 | 13120 | 14450 | 8912 |

## Major Occupation Group: Wages

41. As stated earlier, wages seem to be associated positively with the element of "whitecollar ness" in an occupation. Similarly, the gender differentials in the wages bespeak nexus with the gender composition of an occupational group. The more a group is masculine, the less it is likely to find females in high paid assignments and thus higher is the average wage of males as compared to that of females. This not withstanding, data on females, being wage earners of convenience, does not befit the consideration of trend assessment. However, the feminine group of elementary occupations seems to be an exception though its anomalous position can be explained in term of the greater number of in and out door chores the males are likely to perform as compared to females, in our socio-cultural setting. As for changes during the comparative periods, nominal wages seem to be trending up arguably. See table-31 and Statistical Appendix Table-39.

Table-31
AVERAGE MONTHLY WAGES OF EMPLOYEES BY MAJOR OCCUPATIONAL GROUPS

| Major Occupational Groups |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ |  |  | 2010-11 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 6 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 2 2}$ |  |
| Legislators/senior officials \& managers | 21688 | 21707 | 21365 | 25835 | 25757 | 27527 |  |
| Professionals | 17761 | 17510 | 19463 | 21117 | 21413 | 19584 |  |
| Technicians \& associate professionals | 12154 | 12385 | 8071 | 13164 | 14914 | 9261 |  |
| Clerks | 11125 | 12181 | 10905 | 14006 | 14016 | 13649 |  |
| Service workers/ shop \& market sales <br> workers | 7942 | 7989 | 5867 | 8546 | 8557 | 7750 |  |
| Skilled agricultural \& fishery workers | 7220 | 7182 | 8263 | 7804 | 7959 | 5703 |  |
| Craft \& related trades workers | 7586 | 8141 | 3167 | 8222 | 8810 | 3248 |  |
| Plant/ machine operators \& assemblers | 8560 | 8577 | 6024 | 9049 | 9044 | 10208 |  |
| Elementary (unskilled) occupations | 5716 | 6118 | 3474 | 6658 | 7244 | 3644 |  |

