## SECTION- III

## RESULTS

The Survey's estimates are based on the data of 36,272 sample households enumerated through July 2007 to June 2008. Findings are presented in the form of proportions and percentages to provide for all-purpose employability. The population of Pakistan as per Planning \& Development Division's projection is estimated at 160.97 millions on ${ }^{\text {st }}$ January 2008. The same has been used in arriving at absolute numbers in the report.
2. In comparison with that of the preceding LFS 2006-07, a brief account of the survey's main annual estimates follows.

## Marital Status

3. Marital status is categorized as never married, married, widowed and divorced. The composition of marital status does not seem to be changing with the passage of time. Nevertheless, small offsetting changes are indicated in the proportion of married and never married. Detailed information is given at Statistical Appendix Tables 4 to 4.4.

Table-1
MARITAL STATUS - DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION 10 YEARS OF AGE AND ABOVE

| Marital Status | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 6}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Never Married | 44.6 | 44.9 | 45.4 |
| Married | 51.2 | 50.9 | 50.5 |
| Widowed | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| Divorced | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

## Sex Ratio

4. Comparative figures for the overall sex ratio are close to each other. Rural figures are close to overall pattern while urban ones make a higher trend line. Punjab is more in line with the national trend as compared to other provinces. Migration, as one of the main factor in determining the size and composition of population, may be viewed for possible explanation in this regard.

Table-2
SEX RATIO - PAKISTAN AND ITS PROVINCES

| Province/Area | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pakistan | 105 | 106 | 106 |
| Rural | 103 | 105 | 105 |
| Urban | 108 | 107 | 108 |
| Punjab | 103 | 103 | 103 |
| Sindh | 113 | 112 | 115 |
| NWFP | 98 | 101 | 101 |
| Balochistan | 116 | 114 | 113 |

Source:- Derived from Statistical Appendix Table-1 of LFS 2005-06, 2006-07 and 2007-08.

## Literacy

5. Literacy rates form a rising time series. Overall literacy rate (56\%) is higher than that of LFS 2006-07 (55\%). The improvement is more for females than males and rural than urban. This notwithstanding, rural-urban and male-female disparity seems to be invariant. Provinces make two distinct groupings with narrowing inter provincial gaps. Sindh (58\%) and Punjab (58\%) are equally more literate as compared to NWFP (50\%) and Balochistan (49\%). Relevant information is given in table-3.

Table-3
LITERACY RATES (10 YEARS AND ABOVE) - PAKISTAN AND PROVINCES

| Province/Area | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 6}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ |  |  | 2007-08 |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Pakistan | $\mathbf{5 3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 6}$ |
| Rural | 43.9 | 58.2 | 29.3 | 46.2 | 60.8 | 31.2 | 47.5 | 61.9 | 32.5 |
| Urban | 69.8 | 77.1 | 61.8 | 71.1 | 78.2 | 63.5 | 72.3 | 79.6 | 64.5 |
| Punjab | $\mathbf{5 5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 9}$ |
| Rural | 47.7 | 59.6 | 35.7 | 48.3 | 59.8 | 36.9 | 50.2 | 62.1 | 38.3 |
| Urban | 70.2 | 75.9 | 64.3 | 71.7 | 77.2 | 65.9 | 72.8 | 78.2 | 67.3 |
| Sindh | $\mathbf{5 5 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 2}$ |
| Rural | 37.9 | 54.2 | 19.0 | 41.5 | 59.9 | 20.2 | 39.3 | 56.7 | 18.3 |
| Urban | 71.6 | 79.5 | 62.8 | 72.5 | 80.0 | 64.3 | 74.8 | 82.7 | 65.7 |
| NWFP | $\mathbf{4 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 7}$ |
| Rural | 41.3 | 61.4 | 22.6 | 46.6 | 67.1 | 27.2 | 47.8 | 67.7 | 29.1 |
| Urban | 61.1 | 75.7 | 46.3 | 61.3 | 75.1 | 46.4 | 59.4 | 73.6 | 44.7 |
| Balochistan | $\mathbf{3 7 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 1}$ |
| Rural | 31.3 | 47.2 | 11.6 | 37.3 | 55.1 | 15.7 | 43.6 | 61.2 | 21.8 |
| Urban | 58.4 | 73.6 | 39.1 | 64.9 | 79.9 | 46.8 | 64.8 | 79.6 | 47.0 |

## Level of Education

6. The comparative surveys indicate marginal improvement in the profile of educational attainment. However, matric but less than intermediate ( $10 \%, 11 \%$ ) and below matric ( $36 \%, 37 \%$ ) categories improve particularly, which signifies increasing access to basic education. Generally, both genders show improvement, though, males are more educated compared to females. The comparative picture is given in table-4. Detail is available at Statistical Appendix Tables 3 to 3.4.

Table-4
LEVEL OF EDUCATION - DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION 10 + YEARS OF AGE BY SEX
(\%)

| Level of Education | 2006-07 |  |  | 2007-08 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
|  | $\mathbf{5 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 6}$ |
| No formal education | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Below matric | 36.2 | 43.8 | 28.3 | 36.5 | 43.7 | 28.9 |
| Matric but less than Intermediate | 10.3 | 12.9 | 7.6 | 10.7 | 13.4 | 7.9 |
| Intermediate but less than Degree | 4.5 | 5.4 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 5.6 | 3.6 |
| Degree and above | 3.7 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 2.8 |
| B. Illiterate | $\mathbf{4 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 4}$ |
| Total (A+B) | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

## Labour Force Participation Rates: Crude

7. Crude participation rate of the current survey (32.2\%) suggests fractional improvement as compared to that of LFS 2006-07 (31.8\%) for both genders. Area wise rates register mutually offsetting changes for both genders; rural rate gain a percentage point ( $33 \%, 34 \%$ ) while urban one lose by same margin ( $30 \%, 29 \%$ ). Participation rates decrease in Punjab ( $35 \%, 34 \%$ ) and Balochistan ( $29 \%, 28 \%$ ) while increase in Sindh ( $30 \%, 32 \%$ ) and NWFP $(25 \%, 27 \%)$. The change in the comparative participation rates of the province is more due to females than males. Nonetheless, the augmented participation rates decrease in the comparative periods, particularly in Punjab. It suggests that incentive to engage in marginal economic activities has declined during the comparative periods. Figure-1 depicts crude participation rates for Pakistan by sex and area. Detail is presented in Statistical Appendix Tables 11 to 11.4 and 12 to 12.4 .

Table-5
CRUDE ACTIVITY (PARTICIPATION) RATES - PAKISTAN AND PROVINCES
(\%)

| Province/ Area | 2006-07 |  |  |  |  | 2007-08 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | * Augmented |  | Total | Male | Female | * Augmented |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Female |  |  |  | Total | Female |


| Pakistan | $\mathbf{3 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rural | 32.9 | 48.3 | 16.7 | 43.2 | 37.5 | 33.8 | 49.1 | 17.9 | 42.3 | 35.1 |
| Urban | 29.7 | 50.8 | 7.1 | 31.2 | 10.2 | 28.9 | 49.9 | 6.2 | 30.0 | 8.6 |
| Punjab | $\mathbf{3 4 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 4}$ |
| Rural | 36.3 | 49.9 | 22.4 | 42.9 | 35.4 | 35.5 | 50.0 | 20.8 | 41.6 | 32.8 |
| Urban | 31.3 | 52.1 | 9.5 | 32.6 | 11.9 | 30.2 | 51.4 | 8.0 | 30.9 | 9.3 |
| Sindh | $\mathbf{3 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 5}$ |
| Rural | 31.5 | 49.9 | 10.3 | 47.8 | 45.0 | 36.6 | 52.9 | 17.4 | 48.1 | 42.3 |
| Urban | 28.5 | 50.6 | 4.3 | 29.8 | 7.1 | 27.9 | 49.1 | 4.0 | 29.1 | 6.7 |
| NWFP | $\mathbf{2 4 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 9}$ |
| Rural | 24.3 | 41.6 | 7.0 | 39.8 | 37.5 | 27.1 | 42.0 | 12.2 | 39.0 | 35.7 |
| Urban | 26.5 | 46.3 | 5.4 | 30.2 | 13.0 | 26.1 | 46.1 | 5.0 | 29.6 | 12.1 |
| Balochistan | $\mathbf{2 8 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 4}$ |
| Rural | 30.1 | 48.0 | 9.8 | 41.9 | 34.8 | 29.0 | 48.2 | 7.4 | 41.6 | 33.9 |
| Urban | 23.7 | 41.5 | 3.3 | 26.2 | 8.6 | 24.1 | 42.1 | 3.8 | 27.4 | 10.9 |

Note:- * Males augmented activity rates being insignificantly higher than the standard crude rates are therefore not shown in this table.

2006-07


2007-08


APR:- Augmented Participation Rates are based on additional probing questions asked from persons especially females engaged in housekeeping and other related activities.

Labour Force Participation Rates: Refined
8. Generally, refined activity rates follow the pattern of crude participation rates, though, with wider male-female disparity. Refined activity rate (45\%) is equivalent to that of the LFS 2006-07 (45\%). Gender specific rates indicate a sort of small offsetting changes. The male's participation rates lose a fraction while female's gain by same margin. Similarly, rural rates accrue a percentage point while that of urban lose equivalently, more due to changes in female's than male's participation rates. Province wise, two groupings are observed. Punjab ( $49 \%, 47 \%$ ) and Balochitan ( $44 \%, 42 \%$ ) indicate a decreasing while NWFP $(36 \%, 40 \%)$ and Sindh $(43 \%, 46 \%)$ an increasing scenario, more on account of changes in female's than male's participation rates. The pertinent data is given in table-6. Detail is at Statistical Appendix Tables 11 to 11.4 and 12 to 12.4 .

Table-6
REFINED ACTIVITY (PARTICIPATION) RATES - PAKISTAN AND PROVINCES

| Province/Area | 2006-07 |  |  |  |  | 2007-08 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | *Augmented |  | Total | Male | Female | *Augmented |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Female |  |  |  | Total | Female |
| Pakistan | 45.2 | 70.1 | 19.1 | 55.7 | 40.2 | 45.2 | 69.5 | 19.6 | 53.6 | 36.7 |
| Rural | 48.1 | 71.5 | 24.1 | 63.2 | 54.2 | 48.8 | 71.2 | 25.6 | 61.0 | 50.2 |
| Urban | 39.8 | 67.7 | 9.6 | 41.8 | 13.7 | 38.6 | 66.6 | 8.4 | 40.1 | 11.5 |
| Punjab | 48.6 | 71.3 | 25.4 | 55.3 | 38.8 | 46.6 | 70.2 | 22.8 | 52.5 | 34.6 |
| Rural | 52.1 | 72.5 | 31.7 | 61.4 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 71.2 | 28.9 | 58.4 | 45.6 |
| Urban | 41.6 | 69.0 | 12.6 | 43.2 | 15.9 | 39.9 | 68.4 | 10.5 | 40.7 | 12.2 |
| Sindh | 42.7 | 71.2 | 10.4 | 55.4 | 37.4 | 45.5 | 71.0 | 15.3 | 54.5 | 35.0 |
| Rural | 47.7 | 75.4 | 15.6 | 72.3 | 68.3 | 54.3 | 77.4 | 26.2 | 71.3 | 63.6 |
| Urban | 38.0 | 67.3 | 5.7 | 39.9 | 9.6 | 37.3 | 64.9 | 5.4 | 39.0 | 9.1 |
| NWFP | 36.3 | 63.8 | 9.7 | 56.5 | 48.8 | 39.8 | 64.7 | 16.0 | 55.3 | 46.1 |
| Rural | 36.2 | 63.7 | 10.1 | 59.3 | 54.5 | 40.5 | 64.6 | 17.8 | 58.3 | 52.0 |
| Urban | 36.9 | 64.3 | 7.5 | 42.1 | 18.1 | 36.5 | 65.1 | 7.0 | 41.3 | 16.7 |
| Balochistan | 43.6 | 68.8 | 13.1 | 58.3 | 45.3 | 41.8 | 67.4 | 10.3 | 57.3 | 44.6 |
| Rural | 46.3 | 71.5 | 15.7 | 64.6 | 55.8 | 44.0 | 69.9 | 11.8 | 63.0 | 54.0 |
| Urban | 35.1 | 60.2 | 5.0 | 38.8 | 13.0 | 35.0 | 59.5 | 5.7 | 39.9 | 16.4 |

Note:- * Males augmented activity rates being insignificantly higher than the standard refined rates are therefore not shown in this table.

## Labour Force Participation Rates: Age Specific

9. Table-7 (figure 2) presents comparative picture of age specific participation rates (ASPR). As expected, the age intervals between twenties and fifties (20-59) mark the most productive period of life. The comparative size of gender disparity, though, considerable in all age intervals, seems to be shrinking. Comparative participation rates make a mixed picture of marginal increases and falls. Nevertheless, early thirties (20-24) to early forties (40-44) make a rising segment across the age groups. Most of the groups indicate rise in female's participation rates while that of males make a mixed picture. Detailed information is at Statistical Appendix Tables 11 to 11.4.

Table-7
AGE SPECIFIC ACTIVITY (PARTICIPATION) RATES - BY SEX FOR PAKISTAN
(\%)

| Age Groups | 2006-07 |  |  | 2007-08 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| $10-14$ | 13.3 | 16.9 | 9.2 | 13.7 | 17.1 | 9.7 |
| $15-19$ | 37.4 | 56.3 | 16.6 | 36.9 | 53.9 | 17.6 |
| $20-24$ | 53.0 | 86.8 | 20.7 | 52.4 | 85.1 | 21.0 |
| $25-29$ | 57.1 | 96.9 | 20.8 | 56.6 | 96.3 | 21.2 |
| $30-34$ | 56.9 | 97.4 | 22.7 | 57.1 | 97.6 | 22.6 |
| $35-39$ | 61.2 | 98.2 | 25.6 | 61.7 | 97.8 | 27.0 |
| $40-44$ | 62.5 | 97.8 | 26.3 | 62.7 | 97.9 | 26.5 |
| $45-49$ | 63.2 | 97.2 | 26.2 | 63.0 | 97.5 | 26.2 |
| $50-54$ | 60.6 | 95.9 | 23.4 | 59.9 | 95.6 | 22.0 |
| $55-59$ | 58.8 | 92.2 | 22.5 | 61.5 | 92.5 | 25.5 |
| $60+$ | 40.3 | 58.5 | 15.7 | 40.6 | 59.5 | 15.5 |

FIGURE-2: AGE SPECIFIC ACTIVITY RATE (ASAR) BY SEX FOR PAKISTAN


## Labour Force: Absolute Figures

10. The absolute figure of labour force is the multiple of crude participation rate with midreference year estimate of the population. The numbers of employed and unemployed persons are the labour force multiplied with their percentages. The labour force increases from 50.33 million in 2006-07 to 51.78 million in 2007-08. The volume of labour force shrinks a bit in Punjab and Balochistan while expands in NWFP and Sindh in the same order. The change in the provincial profile is more of rural than urban origin. Relevant information is given in table-8.

Table-8
CIVILIAN LABOUR FORCE - PAKISTAN AND PROVINCES
(Million)

| Province/Area | Labour Force |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006-07 |  |  | 2007-08 |  |  |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Pakistan | 50.33 | 39.92 | 10.41 | 51.78 | 40.82 | 10.96 |
| Rural | 34.75 | 26.15 | 8.60 | 36.18 | 26.85 | 9.33 |
| Urban | 15.58 | 13.77 | 1.81 | 15.60 | 13.97 | 1.63 |
| Punjab | 30.82 | 22.81 | 8.01 | 30.67 | 23.19 | 7.48 |
| Rural | 22.03 | 15.32 | 6.71 | 21.91 | 15.56 | 6.35 |
| Urban | 8.79 | 7.49 | 1.30 | 8.76 | 7.63 | 1.13 |
| Sindh | 11.70 | 10.36 | 1.34 | 12.65 | 10.69 | 1.96 |
| Rural | 6.28 | 5.33 | 0.95 | 7.27 | 5.68 | 1.59 |
| Urban | 5.42 | 5.03 | 0.39 | 5.38 | 5.01 | 0.37 |
| NWFP | 5.61 | 4.85 | 0.76 | 6.27 | 4.99 | 1.28 |
| Rural | 4.67 | 4.00 | 0.67 | 5.27 | 4.08 | 1.19 |
| Urban | 0.94 | 0.85 | 0.09 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.09 |
| Balochistan | 2.20 | 1.90 | 0.30 | 2.19 | 1.95 | 0.24 |
| Rural | 1.77 | 1.50 | 0.27 | 1.73 | 1.53 | 0.20 |
| Urban | 0.43 | 0.40 | 0.03 | 0.46 | 0.42 | 0.04 |

Source:- Derived from Statistical Appendix Tables 11 to 11.4 of LFS 2006-07 and 2007- 08.

## Employed: Absolute Figures

11. The number of employed increases from 47.65 million in 2006-07 to 49.09 million in 2007-08. Province wise, Punjab and Balochistan experience marginal shrinkage while Sindh and NWFP register increase in the same order. The change owes more to, females than males and, rural than urban areas. The detail is given in table-9.

Table-9
EMPLOYED - PAKISTAN AND PROVINCES

| Province/Area | Employed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pakistan | $\mathbf{4 7 . 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 . 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 33.11 | 25.12 | 7.99 | 34.48 | 25.79 | 8.69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 14.54 | 12.99 | 1.55 | 14.61 | 13.27 | 1.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Punjab | $\mathbf{2 9 . 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 9 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 21.00 | 14.66 | 6.34 | 20.83 | 14.87 | 5.96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 8.13 | 7.00 | 1.13 | 8.14 | 7.20 | 0.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sindh | $\mathbf{1 1 . 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 6.13 | 5.25 | 0.88 | 7.12 | 5.60 | 1.52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.17 | 4.83 | 0.34 | 5.14 | 4.83 | 0.31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NWFP | $\mathbf{5 . 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 4.25 | 3.73 | 0.52 | 4.83 | 3.81 | 1.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.83 | 0.77 | 0.06 | 0.90 | 0.84 | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balochistan | $\mathbf{2 . 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 1.73 | 1.48 | 0.25 | 1.70 | 1.51 | 0.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.41 | 0.39 | 0.02 | 0.43 | 0.40 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source:- Derived from Statistical Appendix Tables 8 to 8.4 of LFS 2006-07 and 2007-08.

## Employed: Major Industry Divisions

12. Employment share of agricultural and allied activities (44.6\%) is one percentage point higher than that of 2006-07 (43.6\%) in a gender equivalent way. As for non-agricultural employment, the comparative figures are close to each other. Nevertheless, manufacturing and community, social and personal services do indicate fall in the level of activity. Comparative information is given in table-10. Detail is at Statistical Appendix Tables 13 to 13.4 .

Table-10
EMPLOYED - DISTRIBUTION BY MAJOR INDUSTRY DIVISIONS
(\%)

|  | 2006-07 |  |  | 2007-08 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Major Industry Divisions | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Agriculture, forestry, hunting and fishing | 43.6 | 36.4 | 72.4 | 44.6 | 36.9 | 75.0 |
| Manufacturing | 13.5 | 13.9 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 13.3 | 11.8 |
| Construction | 6.6 | 8.1 | 0.6 | 6.3 | 7.8 | 0.4 |
| Wholesale and retail trade | 14.4 | 17.5 | 2.2 | 14.6 | 17.9 | 1.8 |
| Transport, storage and communication | 5.4 | 6.7 | 0.2 | 5.5 | 6.8 | 0.2 |
| Community, social and personal services | 14.4 | 14.9 | 12.4 | 13.7 | 14.4 | 10.6 |
| *Others | 2.1 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 0.2 |
| * Onyyyyy |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Others (includes mining \& quarrying, electricity, gas \& water, financing, insurance, real estate \& business services and activities not adequately defined)

FIGURE -3 PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYED: MAJOR INDUSTRY DIVISIONS


2007-08
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Employed: Major Occupational Groups
13. Majority ( $37 \%$ ) of the total employed in 2007-08 are engaged in skilled agriculture and fishery workers followed by elementary occupations (17\%), craft \& related trades workers ( $15 \%$ ), legislator, senior officials \& managers ( $13 \%$ ), service works ( $5 \%$ ), technician \& associate professionals (5\%), plant \& machine operators \& assemblers (4\%), professional ( $2 \%$ ) and clerks ( $2 \%$ ). Females work predominantly in farming activities ( $61 \%$ ) and elementary occupation (17\%) while males are distributed a sort of proportionately in all activities. Comparative labour force surveys present a mixed picture of marginal rises and falls. Increase is indicated in the Skilled agricultural \& fishery workers, legislators, senior officials \& managers, technicians \& associate professionals and clerk in the same order. The rise in the first one is mainly due to females while that of the latter three groups is chiefly on account of males. Professionals, craft \& related workers and Plant machinery operators \& assemblers remain at the same level while Service workers and shop \& market sales workers and elementary occupations decrease for both genders. Relevant information is given in table11. Detail is provided at Statistical Appendix Tables 14 to 14.4.

Table-11
EMPLOYED - DISTRIBUTION BY MAJOR OCCUPATIONAL GROUPS

| Major Occupational Groups | 2006-07 |  |  | 2007-08 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\begin{array}{l}\text { Legislators, } \\ \text { managers }\end{array} & \text { senior officials } & \text { and } \\ & & \end{array}$ | 12.3 | 14.9 | 2.1 | 12.7 | 15.5 | 1.8 |
| Professionals | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| Technicians and associate professionals | 5.0 | 4.4 | 7.2 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 6.6 |
| Clerks | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 0.2 |
| Service workers and shop \& market sales workers | 5.6 | 6.8 | 0.8 | 4.9 | 6.0 | 0.6 |
| Skilled agricultural and fishery workers | 36.4 | 30.9 | 58.1 | 37.4 | 31.3 | 60.9 |
| Craft and related trades workers | 15.3 | 16.0 | 12.3 | 15.2 | 16.1 | 11.8 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 4.1 | 5.1 | 0.2 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 0.2 |
| Elementary (unskilled) occupations | 18.3 | 18.4 | 18.1 | 17.4 | 17.5 | 17.1 |

FIGURE-4: PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYED: MAJOR OCCUPATIONAL GROUPS

## 2006-07


14. Majority ( $36 \%$ ) constitutes employees followed by own account workers (34\%), unpaid family workers ( $29 \%$ ) and employers ( $1 \%$ ). More female workers are engaged as unpaid family workers ( $65 \%$ ) compared to male workers ( $20 \%$ ). Contrarily, more males are own account workers, employees and employers. Comparative decrease is posted by employees $(37 \%, 36 \%)$ and own account workers $(35 \%, 34 \%)$ while unpaid family workers rise ( $27 \%, 29 \%$ ), mainly for females. The employers also increase by a fraction due to males. Comparative data are given in table-12. Detail is at Statistical Appendix Tables-15 to 15.4

Table-12
EMPLOYED - DISTRIBUTION BY EMPLOYMENT STATUS AND SEX
(\%)

| Employment Status | 2006-07 |  |  | 2007-08 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total |  |  | Male | Female | Total |
| Male | Female |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Employers | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1.2 | - |
| Own account workers | 34.5 | 39.8 | 13.4 | 34.2 | 39.6 | 12.8 |
| Unpaid family workers | 27.3 | 18.6 | 61.9 | 28.9 | 19.7 | 65.0 |
| Employees | 37.4 | 40.6 | 24.6 | 36.0 | 39.5 | 22.2 |

Note:- "Other" due to negligible size is included in own account workers.
(-) Stands for insignificant.

## Employed: Number of Hours Worked During the Week

15. Less than $1 \%$ of the currently employed persons constitute the group of people who had a job attachment but did not work last week due to certain reasons. On the other end, a considerable proportion ( $28 \%$ ) worked 56 hours or more a week. $14 \%$ of the employed persons worked less, while $86 \%$ more, than " 35 hours a week"-the duration representing full (time related) employment. As for provinces, the proportion of "not worked" ranges from insignificant in Sindh to quite significant in NWFP with Punjab and Balochistan lying in between, in the same order. Further, the proportions of Sindh and Balochistan are more skewed to upper ranges as compared to that of Punjab and NWFP. Generally, the profile of comparative surveys is almost similar. Relevant information is given in table-13. Detail is provided at Statistical Appendix Tables-15 to 15.4.

Table-13
EMPLOYED - DISTRIBUTION BY HOURS WORKED, 2007-08

| Area/ <br> Province | Total <br> employed | Not <br> worked | Less than <br> $\mathbf{1 5}$ hours | $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 4}$ <br> Hours | $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 4}$ <br> hours | $\mathbf{3 5 - 4 1}$ <br> hours | $\mathbf{4 2 - 4 6}$ <br> hours | $\mathbf{4 7 - 5 5}$ <br> hours | $\mathbf{5 6}$ hours <br> \& above |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pakistan | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 0 5}$ |
| Rural | 100.0 | 0.61 | 2.06 | 6.11 | 8.76 | 22.30 | 23.88 | 11.66 | 24.62 |
| Urban | 100.0 | 0.56 | 0.76 | 1.90 | 3.47 | 11.84 | 32.25 | 13.07 | 36.15 |
| Punjab | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 3 6}$ |
| Rural | 100.0 | 0.66 | 2.29 | 6.69 | 8.88 | 19.60 | 23.08 | 12.34 | 26.47 |
| Urban | 100.0 | 0.71 | 1.03 | 2.72 | 3.78 | 12.44 | 32.61 | 9.97 | 36.74 |
| Sindh | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 8 2}$ |
| Rural | 100.0 | 0.04 | 0.57 | 3.61 | 7.14 | 30.33 | 24.11 | 11.87 | 22.36 |
| Urban | 100.0 | 0.13 | 0.32 | 0.53 | 2.54 | 10.77 | 31.51 | 18.83 | 35.38 |
| NWFP | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 2 1}$ |
| Rural | 100.0 | 1.42 | 3.94 | 9.31 | 12.71 | 20.83 | 23.95 | 8.49 | 19.37 |
| Urban | 100.0 | 1.82 | 1.14 | 3.01 | 6.12 | 13.41 | 28.05 | 9.11 | 37.35 |
| Balochistan | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 3 6}$ |
| Rural | 100.0 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.46 | 2.92 | 25.92 | 32.64 | 11.53 | 26.30 |
| Urban | 100.0 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.45 | 3.03 | 10.15 | 43.32 | 11.28 | 31.55 |

Note:- Total may not add to 100 due to rounding effect.

## Employed: Informal Sector

16. Informal sector accounts for more than seven-tenth (73\%) of the employment in main jobs outside agriculture, more in rural ( $75 \%$ ) than in urban areas ( $71 \%$ ). Contrarily, formal sector activities are more concentrated in urban areas (30\%) as compared to rural areas ( $25 \%$ ). Females are more numerous in urban formal and less in rural formal as compared to males. On the other hand, males are more numerous in urban informal while less in rural informal as compared to females. The profiles of comparative surveys are similar however, informal employment increases from $72 \%$ in 2006-07 to $73 \%$ in 2007-08. Rural informal increases more due to males than females while urban informal remain at the same level though females do increase therein. Relevant information is given in table-14. Detail is available at Statistical Appendix Table-17.

| Sector | 2006-07 |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Formal | 28.1 | 28.0 | 29.3 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 27.4 |
| Informal | 71.9 | 72.0 | 70.7 | 72.8 | 72.8 | 72.6 |
| Rural | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Formal | 26.9 | 27.3 | 23.4 | 24.9 | 25.1 | 23.2 |
| Informal | 73.1 | 72.7 | 76.6 | 75.1 | 74.9 | 76.8 |
| Urban | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Formal | 29.3 | 28.6 | 35.7 | 29.5 | 29.2 | 32.5 |
| Informal | 70.7 | 71.4 | 64.3 | 70.5 | 70.8 | 67.5 |

Source: - Derived from Statistical Appendix Table-17.

## Major Industry Divisions: Informal Sector

17. The largest part (35\%) goes to wholesale and retail trade followed by manufacturing (21\%), community, social and personal services (17\%), construction (14\%) and transport ( $11 \%$ ). The other category comprising of mining \& quarrying; electricity, gas \& water and finance, insurance, real estate \& business services, accounts for less than two percent. Manufacturing, with three fifth (58\%) of females as compared to about one-fifth (17\%) of males is the most feminine while the wholesale and retail trade with near two-fifth (38\%) of males compared to one-tenth ( $10 \%$ ) of females, is the most masculine group. The former posts improvement mostly of female origin while latter remains unchanged due to offsetting changes in the males and females. Community, social and personal services decreases, more due to females than males. Construction, transport, storage \& communication and other category are, more or less, on the same level. Comparative Labour Force Surveys indicate a mixed trend though indication of structural shift is not discernable. Relevant information is given in table-15. Detail may be seen at Statistical Appendix Tables 18 to 18.4.

Table-15
INFORMAL SECTORS WORKERS - DISTRIBUTION BY MAJOR INDUSTRY DIVISIONS

| Major Industry Divisions | 2006-07 |  |  | 2007-08 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Manufacturing | 20.4 | 16.8 | 53.7 | 20.9 | 17.1 | 58.2 |
| Construction | 14.6 | 15.9 | 2.2 | 14.2 | 15.5 | 1.1 |


| Wholesale and retail trade | 34.6 | 37.1 | 10.9 | 35.4 | 38.0 | 9.5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Transport, storage and <br> communication | 10.8 | 11.9 | 0.7 | 11.0 | 12.1 | 0.7 |
| Community, social and personal <br> services | 17.9 | 16.4 | 32.2 | 16.6 | 15.3 | 30.1 |
| *Others | 1.7 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 0.4 |

*Others (includes mining \& quarrying; electricity, gas \& water and finance, insurance, real estate \& business services)

## Major Occupational Groups: Informal Sector

18. Majority ( $31 \%$ ) are reported as Craft and related trade workers, about a quarter (28\%) are Legislators, senior officials \& managers and near one-fifth (19\%) are engaged in elementary (unskilled) occupations. Services workers, shop and market sales workers come fourth ( $9 \%$ ) followed by plant \& machine operators \& assemblers ( $8 \%$ ), technicians \& associate professionals (5\%) and professionals (2\%). Males are more evenly distributed among activities as compared to females. Three -fifth ( $60 \%$ ) of females compared to less-than one-third (28\%) of males are engaged in craft \& related trade activities. Technicians and associate professionals are also female-intensive while males dominate in rest of occupations, though, Plant and machine operators \& assemblers and Legislators, senior officials and managers are exceptionally male-intensive in the same order. Increases \& decreases are evenly balanced during the comparative periods. Main information is given in table-16. Detail may be seen at Statistical Appendix Tables 19 to 19.4.

Table-16
INFORMAL SECTORS WORKERS - DISTRIBUTION BY MAJOR OCCUPATIONAL GROUPS

| Major Occupational Groups | 2006-07 |  |  | 2007-08 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
|  <br> managers | 26.8 | 28.7 | 9.1 | 27.7 | 29.7 | 8.3 |
| Professionals | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| Technicians and associate <br> professionals | 4.2 | 3.3 | 12.2 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 13.6 |
| Clerks | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Service workers and shop \& market <br> sales workers | 9.9 | 10.6 | 3.0 | 8.7 | 9.4 | 2.5 |
| Skilled agricultural and fishery <br> workers | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 29.8 | 27.0 | 56.7 | 30.8 | 27.8 | 60.2 |


| Plant and machine operators and <br> assemblers | 6.9 | 7.6 | 0.5 | 7.5 | 8.2 | 0.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Elementary (unskilled) occupations | 20.1 | 20.5 | 16.3 | 18.5 | 19.0 | 13.6 |

## Employment Status: Informal Sector

19. The employment status categorizes majority (44\%) as employees followed by own account workers ( $42 \%$ ). The former, with majority of females ( $52 \%$ ) and latter, containing better part of males (43\%), are opposite in gender composition. About one in ten workers (12\%) is reported as unpaid family workers and one in fifty ( $2 \%$ ) as employers. Unpaid family workers comprise two-time more females ( $21 \%$ ) than males ( $11 \%$ ) while employers are predominantly male intensive. As far change in the comparative periods, unpaid family workers gain while employees lose a percentage point each, employers also add some fractions whereas own account workers remain at same level. Relevant information is given in table-17. Detail is provided at Statistical Appendix Table-20.

Table-17
INFORMAL SECTORS WORKERS - DISTRIBUTION BY EMPLOYMENT STATUS
(\%)

| Employment Status | 2006-07 |  |  | 2007-08 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Employers | 1.5 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 0.2 |
| Own account workers | 41.8 | 43.3 | 27.3 | 41.6 | 43.1 | 26.5 |
| Unpaid family workers | 11.3 | 10.4 | 19.5 | 12.0 | 11.1 | 20.9 |
| Employees | 45.4 | 44.7 | 52.7 | 44.4 | 43.6 | 52.4 |

## Unemployment Rates: Overall

20. Unemployment rate (5\%) remains unchanged. Similar pattern is noted for both genders and for rural areas. Nevertheless, some fractions of male origin seem to be off from urban unemployment rate. The relevant figures are presented in table-18.

Table-18
UNEMPLOYMENT RATES - BY AREA AND SEX
(\%)

| Area/Sex | 2006-07 |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Pakistan | $\mathbf{5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 5}$ |
| Rural | 4.7 | 4.0 | 7.1 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 6.9 |


| Urban | 6.7 | 5.6 | 14.6 | 6.3 | 5.0 | 17.7 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## Unemployment Rates: Age Specific

21. The comparative age specific unemployment rates for the below fifties remain unchanged however, late teens (15-19) do indicate a sort of rise in unemployment. Calculus of age group's rates seems to be determined more by changes in female than male unemployment rates. Post forties age intervals show declining unemployment rates, again mostly for females than males. Relevant data may be seen in table-19 and figure 5 . Detail is given at Statistical Appendix Tables 11 to 11.4.

Table-19
UNEMPLOYMENT RATES - BY SEX AND AGE

| Age Groups | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ |  |  | 2007-08 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| $10-14$ | 9.1 | 10.7 | 5.7 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 6.8 |
| $15-19$ | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.7 | 8.5 | 9.6 |
| $20-24$ | 6.7 | 6.0 | 9.4 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 11.4 |
| $25-29$ | 4.1 | 3.4 | 6.8 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 7.2 |
| $30-34$ | 2.0 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 3.5 |
| $35-39$ | 1.5 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 3.5 |
| $40-44$ | 1.8 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 3.3 |
| $45-49$ | 2.6 | 2.0 | 5.2 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 5.4 |
| $50-54$ | 4.8 | 3.0 | 12.4 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 8.8 |
| $55-59$ | 7.4 | 4.6 | 19.7 | 6.6 | 4.1 | 17.1 |
| 60 years and above | 13.7 | 9.6 | 34.6 | 12.5 | 8.8 | 31.6 |

FIGURE-5: AGE SPECIFIC UNEMPLOYMENT RATES (ASUR) BY SEX FOR PAKISTAN


## Unemployed: Absolute Figures

22. The volume of unemployed shows marginal increase from 2.68 million in 2006-07 to 2.69 million in 2007-08. Areas and gender wise, the increase is of rural and female provenance. Provinces, with small fractional changes, fare at the same level in the comparative periods.

Table-20
UNEMPLOYED - PAKISTAN AND PROVINCES
(Million)

| Province/Area | Unemployed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | 2006-07 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ |  |  |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |  |
| Pakistan | $\mathbf{2 . 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 3}$ |  |
| Rural | 1.64 | 1.03 | 0.61 | 1.70 | 1.06 | 0.64 |  |
| Urban | 1.04 | 0.78 | 0.26 | 0.99 | 0.70 | 0.29 |  |
| Punjab | $\mathbf{1 . 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 8}$ |  |
| Rural | 1.03 | 0.66 | 0.37 | 1.08 | 0.69 | 0.39 |  |
| Urban | 0.66 | 0.49 | 0.17 | 0.62 | 0.43 | 0.19 |  |
| Sindh | $\mathbf{0 . 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 3}$ |  |
| Rural | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.07 |  |
| Urban | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.06 |  |
| NWFP | $\mathbf{0 . 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0}$ |  |
| Rural | 0.42 | 0.27 | 0.15 | 0.44 | 0.27 | 0.17 |  |
| Urban | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.03 |  |
| Balochistan | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2}$ |  |
| Rural | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 |  |
| Urban | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 |  |

Source:- Derived from Statistical Appendix Tables 8 to 8.4 of LFS 2006-07 and 2007-08.

## Underemployment Rates: Time-related

23. Underemployment rates decreases marginally from $1.5 \%$ in 2006-07 to $1.1 \%$ in 200708. Gender and area wise, the decline is more for females and in rural comparative to males and in urban areas. Given the stagnating scenario of employment, the fall in the underemployment rates seems to signify increasing recourse to multiple jobs in the face of rising cost of living. Relevant information is given in table- 21 .

Table-21
UNDEREMPLOYMENT (TIME-RELATED) RATES OF PAKISTAN- BY AREA AND GENDER
(\%)

| Areas | 2006-07 |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Pakistan | 1.49 | 1.34 | 2.04 | 1.10 | 1.03 | 1.38 |
| Rural | 1.77 | 1.73 | 1.88 | 1.25 | 1.27 | 1.18 |
| Urban | 0.86 | 0.60 | 2.81 | 0.76 | 0.56 | 2.54 |

Source:- Derived from Statistical Appendix Tables 6 and 22 of LFS 2006-07 and 2007-08.

## Employed: Occupational Safety and Health

24. Questions on occupational safety and health are asked from the employed persons. About one in fifty ( $2 \%$ ) employed persons reports some sort of occupational injury/disease in the 12 months preceding the date of enumeration that resulted in the loss of working time or the consultation with a practitioner. The percentage suffered finds male workers more vulnerable ( $2.4 \%$ ) relative to female workers ( $0.8 \%$ ). Same is the case for rural workers ( $2.2 \%$ ) compared to urban workers ( $1.6 \%$ ). Generally, vulnerability remains at the same level in the comparative periods though a sort of fractional increase is indicated in the profile of females. Similar pattern is observed in rural and urban areas, nevertheless, the latter's gender composition alludes to a sort of improvement down the time lane. Comparative data sets are given in table-22.

Table-22
OCCUPATIONAL INJURIES/DISEASES - EMPLOYED PERSONS 10 + YEARS OF AGE BY STATUS
(\%)

| Status of Injuries/ <br> Diseases | 2006-07 |  |  | 2007-08 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Suffered | 2.0 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 0.8 |
| Not suffered | 98.0 | 97.6 | 99.6 | 98.0 | 97.6 | 99.2 |
| Rural | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Suffered | 2.2 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 0.9 |


| Not suffered | 97.8 | 97.3 | 99.6 | 97.8 | 97.3 | 99.1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Urban | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Suffered | 1.6 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 0.2 |
| Not suffered | 98.4 | 98.2 | 99.7 | 98.4 | 98.3 | 99.8 |

## Major Industry Divisions: Occupational Safety and Health

25. Almost half ( $47 \%$ ) of sufferers belongs to agriculture. Construction accounts for oneseventh ( $15 \%$ ) approximately, followed by manufacturing ( $13 \%$ ) and, equivalent activities of community, social and personal services (8\%), wholesale \& retail trade (8\%) and transport, storage and communication (8\%). From the gender perspective, females are more than two times ( $93 \%$ ) as exposed to risk as males in agriculture ( $43 \%$ ). Contrarily, males are three times more vulnerable in manufacturing ( $13 \%, 4 \%$ ) and community, social and personal services $(9 \%, 3 \%)$ as compared to females. The activities of construction, wholesale and retail trade and transport, storage \& communication and financing, insurance, real estate and business services are entirely masculine in the composition of sufferers. The comparative risk profile of agriculture deteriorates, manufacturing, wholesale \& retail trade, community, social and personal services improves while that of construction and transport, storage and communication remains at the same level. Relevant information is given in table-23. Detail is at Statistical Appendix Tables 27 to 27.4

Table-23
OCCUPATIONAL INJURIES/DISEASES -DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYED PERSONS BY MAJOR INDUSTRY DIVISIONS

| Major Industry Divisions | 2006-07 |  |  | 2007-08 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Agriculture, forestry, hunting and <br> fishing | 40.9 | 40.0 | 63.7 | 46.8 | 43.0 | 92.8 |
| Mining \& quarrying | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | - |
| Manufacturing | 15.2 | 14.9 | 21.7 | 12.7 | 13.4 | 4.4 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 0.9 | 0.9 | - | 0.5 | 0.6 | - |
| Construction | 14.5 | 14.9 | 5.7 | 14.9 | 16.2 | - |
| Wholesale \& retail trade <br> restaurants \& hotels | and | 9.3 | 9.5 | 2.8 | 8.0 | 8.6 |
| Transport, <br> communication storage and | 8.0 | 8.3 | 1.9 | 8.0 | 8.7 | - |


| Financing, insurance, real estate and <br> business services | 0.3 | 0.4 | - | 0.4 | 0.4 | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Community, social and personal <br> services | 10.6 | 10.8 | 4.2 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 2.8 |
| Activities not adequately defined | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | - |

Note:- (-) Stands for no information

## Major Occupational Groups: Occupational Safety and Health

26. On the pattern of major industry divisions, majority of suffered (41\%) is found in skilled agriculture and fishery activities. Comparative figures of the two surveys indicate deterioration over time more for females than males. Three-fourth of suffered females (75\%) belongs to this group. The next major grouping, elementary occupations ( $22 \%$ ) are getting riskier while opposite is the case with craft \& related trade activities (22\%). The change in the former group is equivalent for both genders while that of latter is mostly of female origin. Legislators, senior officials and managers and plant and machine operators and assemblers indicate improvement of male origin. Relevant information is given in table-24. Detail is provided at Statistical Appendix Tables 28 to 28.4.

Table-24
OCCUPATIONAL INJURIES/DISEASES -DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYED PERSONS BY MAJOR OCCUPATIONAL GROUPS

| Major Occupational Groups | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Legislators, senior officials <br> managers | 7.4 | 7.8 | - | 5.4 | 5.8 | - |
| Professionals | 0.5 | 0.5 | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Technicians and associate <br> professionals | 1.2 | 1.0 | 6.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | - |
| Clerks | 0.7 | 0.7 | - | 0.7 | 0.7 | - |
| Service workers and shop \& market <br> sales workers | 3.7 | 3.8 | - | 3.3 | 3.6 | - |
| Skilled agricultural and fishery <br> workers | 37.2 | 36.4 | 57.4 | 40.6 | 37.7 | 75.4 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 23.9 | 24.1 | 18.8 | 21.5 | 22.8 | 5.3 |
| Plant and machine operators and <br> assembles | 6.2 | 6.4 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 5.8 | - |
| Elementary (unskilled) occupations | 19.2 | 19.3 | 15.8 | 21.9 | 22.2 | 19.1 |

Note:- (-) Stands for insignificant or no information.

## Employment Status: Occupational Safety and Health

27. Majority ( $40 \%$ ) of the suffered falls in the category of employees which indicate improvement of female origin in comparison with LFS 2006-07 (42\%). The second important categories are own account workers (39\%) and unpaid family workers ( $21 \%$ ). The first one improves while the second one deteriorates more for females than males. Employers with very small share do not lend to making worthwhile descriptive comments. Relevant information is given in table-25. Detail is available at Statistical Appendix Table 29.

Table-25
OCCUPATIONAL INJURIES/DISEASES - DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYED PERSONS BY EMPLOYMENT STATUS
(\%)

| Employment Status | 2006-07 |  |  | 2007-08 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total |  | Male | Female | Total | Male |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Employers | 0.4 | 0.4 | - | 0.8 | 0.8 | - |
| Own account workers | 43.1 | 44.8 | 3.9 | 38.6 | 41.0 | 9.3 |
| Unpaid family workers | 14.6 | 12.2 | 70.1 | 20.5 | 15.8 | 78.0 |
| Employees | 41.9 | 42.6 | 26.0 | 40.1 | 42.4 | 12.7 |

Note:- (-) Stands for no information.

## Types of Treatment Received: Occupational Safety and Health

28. Majority (54\%) of the sufferers is reported to have consulted a doctor or other medical professionals, one-in-ten ( $11 \%$ ) get hospitalized and one-fourth ( $24 \%$ ) take time off work. The $1^{\text {st }}$ two categories decline while the last one (i.e took time off work) rises, more for females than males. In the context of rising incidence of taking time off work, it can be argued that affordability to pursue treatment is decreasing. Males (54\%) are more inclined to get doctor's advice compared to females (47\%). Similarly, males seem in better position to get hospitalized ( $11 \%$ ) compared to females ( $6 \%$ ). The taking time off work increases considerably, more for females than males. The remaining, more than one-tenth (12\%) did not avail themselves of any of the aforementioned options also increase over time which alludes to a sort of increasing marginalization at the workplace. Relevant information is given in table-26. Detail in available at Statistical Appendix Table 30.

Table-26
OCCUPATIONAL INJURIES/DISEASES - DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYED PERSONS 10 + YEARS OF AGE BY TYPES OF TREATMENT RECEIVED
(\%)

| Types of Treatment Received | 2006-07 |  |  | 2007-08 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |


| Hospitalized | 13.7 | 13.8 | 9.8 | 10.5 | 10.9 | 5.7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Consulted a Doctor or other Medical <br> Professional | 61.2 | 61.5 | 56.5 | 53.6 | 54.2 | 46.6 |
| Took time off work | 18.9 | 18.5 | 27.9 | 24.1 | 22.9 | 37.9 |
| None | 6.2 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 11.8 | 12.0 | 9.8 |

